

Professor (Dr.) Indrajit Dube & Dr. S Elika Assumi, *Cultivating Versatility in Legal Education: Comprehensive Institutional Development Initiatives*, 11(1) NLUJ L. REV. 178 (2024)

**CULTIVATING VERSATILITY IN LEGAL EDUCATION:  
COMPREHENSIVE INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT  
INITIATIVES**

~ Professor (Dr.) Indrajit Dube & Dr. S. Elika Assumi\*

**ABSTRACT**

*As the field of law transitions from traditional rights-based frameworks to governance-focused approaches, legal education must undergo transformative shifts. This paper argues for a reimagined model of legal training centred on adaptability, integrating interdisciplinary curricula, participatory governance, and digital tools to prepare graduates for complex governance roles and global challenges. Emphasising three key areas, the proposed model calls for broadening curricula to encompass cross-functional subjects—such as technology, environmental law, and international relations—thereby equipping students with the skills needed for policy and compliance roles. Additionally, it highlights the importance of participatory governance in law schools, advocating for collaborative decision-making processes that incorporate diverse perspectives and foster a culture of inclusivity and accountability. Finally, integrating digital tools, such as virtual classrooms and policy simulations, enriches learning experiences and prepares students for the digital-first legal landscape. This paper concludes that a governance-based model, prioritising interdisciplinary learning and ethical adaptability, positions law schools to*

---

\*Professor (Dr.) Indrajit Dube is a Professor, RGSOIPL, IIT Kharagpur [On Lien] & Vice-Chancellor, National Law University, Meghalaya. Dr. S. Elika Assumi is an Assistant Professor of Law at National Law University, Meghalaya.

*develop practitioners ready to lead and adapt within varied governance contexts, meeting the demands of a globalised, digitally integrated world.*

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>INTRODUCTION: THE NEED FOR VERSATILITY IN LEGAL</b>	
<b>EDUCATION.....</b>	<b>182</b>
<b>I. PURPOSE OF LEGAL EDUCATION .....</b>	<b>184</b>
<b>II. SHIFTS IN LEGAL EDUCATION.....</b>	<b>186</b>
<b>III. REIMAGINING LEGAL EDUCATION .....</b>	<b>190</b>
<b>IV. DIMENSIONS FOR INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT IN LEGAL</b>	
<b>EDUCATION.....</b>	<b>193</b>
<b>A. EMBRACING LIFELONG LEARNING.....</b>	<b>193</b>
<b>B. COLLABORATION WITH INDUSTRY AND GOVERNMENT .....</b>	<b>194</b>
<b>C. EMBEDDING TECHNOLOGY IN LEGAL EDUCATION.....</b>	<b>195</b>
<b>I. INTEGRATION OF AI TOOLS .....</b>	<b>195</b>
<b>II. UTILISATION OF BLOCKCHAIN PLATFORMS .....</b>	<b>195</b>
<b>III. ONLINE DISPUTE RESOLUTION SYSTEMS.....</b>	<b>196</b>
<b>D. EXPERIENTIAL LEARNING INITIATIVES .....</b>	<b>196</b>
<b>E. LEGAL CLINICS AND GOVERNANCE EDUCATION .....</b>	<b>197</b>
<b>F. STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT IN LEGAL CLINICS.....</b>	<b>197</b>
<b>G. SIMULATED LEARNING ENVIRONMENTS IN LEGAL</b>	
<b>EDUCATION.....</b>	<b>198</b>
<b>H. COMPARATIVE AND GLOBALISED LEGAL EDUCATION .....</b>	<b>200</b>

<b>I. COLLABORATIVE PROGRAMMES AND CROSS-CULTURAL EXCHANGE .....</b>	<b>201</b>
<b>J. GOVERNANCE-BASED EDUCATION.....</b>	<b>202</b>
<b>K. INCORPORATING INCLUSION AND PLURALISM IN LEGAL EDUCATION .....</b>	<b>203</b>
<b>L. IMPORTANCE OF DIVERSE PERSPECTIVES.....</b>	<b>204</b>
<b>M. THE ROLE OF INCLUSIVE LEGAL EDUCATION IN GOVERNANCE.....</b>	<b>205</b>
<b>N. STRUCTURAL REFORMS FOR INCLUSION .....</b>	<b>206</b>
<b>O. MENTORSHIP PROGRAMMES.....</b>	<b>206</b>
<b>P. OUTREACH INITIATIVES .....</b>	<b>207</b>
<b>Q. PARTICIPATORY GOVERNANCE MODELS .....</b>	<b>207</b>
<b>R. EMPHASISING INTERDISCIPLINARY COLLABORATION.....</b>	<b>209</b>
<b>S. ETHICAL LEADERSHIP AND CONTINUOUS LEARNING .....</b>	<b>210</b>
<b>T. PARTNERSHIPS WITH REGULATORY BODIES AND INDUSTRY LEADERS.....</b>	<b>211</b>
<b>U. THE ROLE OF DIGITAL INFRASTRUCTURE.....</b>	<b>212</b>
<b>V. CONCLUSION: THE WAY FORWARD – INSTITUTIONALISING VERSATILITY IN LEGAL EDUCATION.....</b>	<b>214</b>

## I. INTRODUCTION: THE NEED FOR VERSATILITY IN LEGAL EDUCATION

The landscape of legal practice is undergoing a profound transformation driven by rapid technological advancements, necessitating a significant shift in the pedagogical approaches adopted by law schools. Traditional models of legal education, which have historically emphasised doctrinal knowledge and advocacy skills, are increasingly inadequate in preparing graduates for the complexities of contemporary legal environments. Integrating exponential technologies such as artificial intelligence (“AI”), blockchain, and machine learning into legal practice has rendered these tools supplementary and essential to effectively deliver legal services.<sup>1</sup> For instance, predictive algorithms<sup>2</sup> are now instrumental in enhancing legal research and informing litigation strategies, while blockchain technology facilitates the automation of business transactions through smart contracts.<sup>3</sup> As these technologies automate routine legal

---

<sup>1</sup> David Cowan, *Book Review of Richard Susskind, Online Courts and the Future of Justice*, 18(2) CAN. J.L. & TECH. 303 (2020); Colin Rule, *Review of Online Courts and the Future of Justice by Richard Susskind (Oxford University Press, 2019)*, 11(2) INT’L. J. COURT ADM. 10 (2020); RICHARD SUSSKIND, *ONLINE COURTS AND THE FUTURE OF JUSTICE* (Oxford University Press 2019).

<sup>2</sup> S. DEAKIN AND CHRISTOPHER MARKOU, *IS LAW COMPUTABLE? CRITICAL PERSPECTIVES ON LAW AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE*, (Bloomsbury Publishing 2020); Daryl Lim, *Predictive Analytics*, 51 LOY. U. CHI. L.J. 161 (2019).

<sup>3</sup> Rosa Maria Garcia-Teruel, *Legal Challenges and Opportunities of Blockchain Technology in the Real Estate Sector*, 12(2) J. PROP. PLAN. & ENVTL. L. 129, 129–45 (2020); Daniel Martin Katz, Michael Bommarito & Josh Blackman, *A General Approach for Predicting the Behaviour of the Supreme Court of the United States*, 12(4) PLOS ONE (2017); Nada Madaoui, *The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Legal Systems: Challenges and Opportunities*, 1 PROBLEMS OF LEGALITY 285, 285–303 (2024); Alan Murray et al., *Contracting in the Smart Era: The Implications of Blockchain and Decentralized Autonomous Organizations for Contracting and Corporate Governance*, 33(1) ACADEMY MGMT. PERSP. 88, 88–104 (2019).

tasks, the role of legal professionals is evolving from traditional advocacy to a more nuanced function centred on systemic governance. This shift entails a focus on managing institutional frameworks, designing regulatory protocols, and advising policymakers on these innovations' ethical and technological challenges.<sup>4</sup> Consequently, the legal profession is moving away from a rights-based model, which prioritises individual protections, towards a governance-based framework that emphasises collaborative problem-solving across various sectors. Legal practitioners are now required to engage with intricate governance structures that demand skills in policy design, stakeholder negotiation, and continuous adaptation to changing circumstances.<sup>5</sup>

In light of these developments, law schools must respond proactively by reformulating their curricula to cultivate interdisciplinary learning, technical proficiency, and critical thinking skills among students. This educational approach is vital to ensure that graduates are equipped to navigate and address the multifaceted global challenges of our time, including climate governance and data privacy regulation.<sup>6</sup> In this context, law schools can better prepare their students for successful careers in an

---

<sup>4</sup> Amy Bullows, *How Technology Is Changing the Legal Sector*, 55 *THE LAW TEACHER* 258 (2021); R. F. Weber, *Will the Legal Singularity Hollow Out Law's Normative Core?* 97 *MICH. TECH. L. REV.* (2020).

<sup>5</sup> LAWRENCE M. FRIEDMAN, *A HISTORY OF AMERICAN LAW* (Simon & Schuster 2019); M. Bailes, President-Elect, Law Council of Australia, Speech at Australian Young Lawyers' Conference, Sydney: The Law and Legal Technology – Our Changing Work Practices (Oct. 20, 2017).

<sup>6</sup> Debbie Garingan & Anna Pickard, *Artificial Intelligence in Legal Practice: Exploring Theoretical Frameworks for Algorithmic Literacy in the Legal Information Profession*, 21 *LEGAL INFO. MGMT.* 97 (2021).

increasingly complex and interconnected world by fostering a versatile legal education that embraces these emerging realities.

This article presents a governance-based approach to transforming legal education, aimed at addressing the evolving challenges of the legal profession. Part I introduces the shift from a rights-based legal vocation to a governance-focused model, emphasizing the need for law schools to adopt interdisciplinary curricula, participatory governance, and digital tools to prepare students for governance-centric roles. Part II examines the evolving purpose of legal education, tracing how it has moved from a moral and justice-oriented framework to a more specialized and socio-economic model. Part III discusses the significant transformations in legal education, highlighting the integration of globalisation, technology, and professional specialization into the curriculum. Part four proposes reimagining legal education through governance-based frameworks, advocating for participatory and inclusive approaches that equip students with both theoretical and practical skills. Finally, part five outlines the dimensions for institutional development, detailing the role of lifelong learning, industry collaboration, technological integration, and structural reforms in preparing graduates for globalized and technologically advanced governance landscapes.

## **I. PURPOSE OF LEGAL EDUCATION**

Early legal education focused on universal questions of law, morality, and justice before these elements were separated and some discarded. Consequently, early legalists didn't distinguish between law and

morality and considered other professions and disciplines. Over time, legal training has steadily displaced legal education, shrinking the boundaries of legal thought and causing legal minds to become more introverted and focused on technical rules rather than fundamental values.<sup>7</sup> Medieval European universities focused on Roman law, Canon law, and international law — the *ius commune* — which facilitated trade between commercial classes.<sup>8</sup> This socially minded model, however, saw a shift towards an economic focus over time.

Lately, modern legal education has shifted towards an increased focus on social science and general knowledge. Interdisciplinary courses combining legal education with other fields have become more common, including law and economics, law and literature, law and psychology, and law and philosophy. One result of this development has been to drive treatise writing from law schools. Some legal academics view this new scholarship as “amateur social science”.<sup>9</sup> For instance, the evolution of Australian law faculties from “trade schools” to liberal law schools demonstrates the development of a socio-economic approach.<sup>10</sup> Law schools have offered more electives, allowing students to choose courses that examine legal institutions in social and theoretical contexts, as well as

---

<sup>7</sup> Eric T. Kim, *Who's Learning What? Toward Participatory Legal Pedagogy*, 43 HARV. C.R.-C.L. L. REV. 633 (2008).

<sup>8</sup> *Id.*

<sup>9</sup> James R. Maxeiner, *American Law Schools as a Model for Japanese Legal Education? A Preliminary Question from a Comparative Perspective*, 24 KANSAI U. REV. L. & POL. 37 (2003).

<sup>10</sup> Christine Parker & Andrew Goldsmith, *Failed Sociologists in the Marketplace: Law Schools in Australia*, 25 J.L. & SOC'Y. 33 (1998).

courses that examine the law in more detail.<sup>11</sup> This model continues to evolve as legal education responds to the changing needs of the legal profession. For instance, law firms now expect students to be technologically savvy and to have teamwork and communication skills.<sup>12</sup>

## II. SHIFTS IN LEGAL EDUCATION

The contemporary landscape of legal education is experiencing a significant transformation towards a differentiated model, with particular emphasis on ‘legal computics’. This evolution is driven by several interconnected factors that warrant detailed examination. The increasing internationalisation of legal practice brought about by globalisation and technological advancement, has fundamentally altered the requirements for contemporary legal education.<sup>13</sup> This transformation manifests through cross-border legal practice, which necessitates the integration of international legal frameworks, understanding diverse legal systems, and developing cultural competencies. Technological integration has become paramount, with the adoption of artificial intelligence in legal research,

---

<sup>11</sup> M Chesterman & D Weisbrot, *Legal Scholarship in Australia*, 50 MOD. L. REV. 709, 710 (1987); Sampford, Charles; Charles Sampford & David Wood, *Legal Theory and Legal Education—The Next Step*, 1 LEGAL EDUC. REV. 107 (1989); Richard Johnstone, *Rethinking the Teaching of Law*, 3 LEGAL EDUC. REV. 17 (1992).

<sup>12</sup> Lillian Corbin & Lisa Bugden, *Online Teaching: The Importance of Pedagogy, Place, and Presence in Legal Education*, 28 LEGAL EDUC. REV. 1 (2018); Lisa Bugden, Philippa Redmond & Jennifer Greaney, *Online Collaboration as a Pedagogical Approach to Learning and Teaching Undergraduate Legal Education*, 52 LAW TEACHER 85 (2018).

<sup>13</sup> Lisa Bugden, Philippa Redmond & Jennifer Greaney, *Online Collaboration as a Pedagogical Approach to Learning and Teaching Undergraduate Legal Education*, 52 LAW TEACHER 85 (2018).

implementation of blockchain technology in contract management, and data analytics for legal prediction.<sup>14</sup>

This shift from polycentric to monocentric modes of education represents a fundamental restructuring of legal education delivery.<sup>15</sup> This transition encompasses the standardisation of legal education through unified curriculum frameworks, consistent assessment methodologies, and harmonised learning outcomes. Centralised entry pathways have emerged, featuring standardised admission criteria, unified professional qualification requirements, and coordinated professional development programmes. Professional re-engineering, driven by the proliferation of large law firms and evolving regulatory frameworks, has precipitated significant changes in legal education.<sup>16</sup> Large law firms have influenced the landscape through specialisation requirements, team-based practice models, and corporate client service orientation. Such regulatory evolution has also resulted in enhanced compliance requirements, ethical practice frameworks, and professional conduct standards.<sup>17</sup>

The ongoing debate regarding the appropriate balance between professional training and academic education influences curriculum development. While some scholars advocate for an incidental approach to

---

<sup>14</sup> Robert F. Weber, *Will the Legal Singularity Hollow Out Law's Normative Core?*, 97 MICH. TECH. L. REV. (2020).

<sup>15</sup> Eric T. Kim, *Who's Learning What? Toward Participatory Legal Pedagogy*, 43 HARV. C.R.-C.L.L. REV. 633 (2008).

<sup>16</sup> C. Parker & A. Goldsmith, *'Failed Sociologists' in the Market Place: Law Schools in Australia*, 25 J. L. & SOC. 33 (1998).

<sup>17</sup> Lillian Corbin & Lisa Bugden, *Online Teaching: The Importance of Pedagogy, Place, and Presence in Legal Education*, 28 LEGAL EDUC. REV. 1,16 (2018).

professional training, others emphasise its central importance.<sup>18</sup> Professional skill development encompasses practical legal skills, business acumen, and client relationship management, whereas the academic foundation focuses on theoretical understanding, critical thinking capabilities, and research competencies. Legal educators shoulder the burden of guiding future practitioners towards an ethical and fair legal practice.<sup>19</sup> This responsibility manifests through ethical leadership, encompassing professional integrity development, moral reasoning capacity, and social justice awareness. Professional guidance includes career pathway navigation, professional identity formation, and mentorship programmes.

Posner challenged the notion of law's autonomy in his article titled "The Decline of Law as an Autonomous Discipline, 1962-1987,"<sup>20</sup> by asking the provocative question – "*What defines the discipline of law, and can it truly be considered autonomous?*" Schlag expressed similar doubts, likening the study of law to phrenology in a vivid analogy.<sup>21</sup> These elements collectively contribute to a comprehensive framework for modern legal education that balances traditional values with contemporary demands. The success of this educational model depends on its ability to integrate these diverse components whilst maintaining a focus on fundamental

---

<sup>18</sup> James R. Maxeiner, *American Law Schools as a Model for Japanese Legal Education? A Preliminary Question from a Comparative Perspective*, 24 KANSAI U. REV. L. & POL. 37 (2003).

<sup>19</sup> Richard Johnstone, *Rethinking the Teaching of Law*, 3(1) LEGAL EDUC. REV. 17 (1992).

<sup>20</sup> Richard A. Posner, *The Decline of Law as an Autonomous Discipline: 1962-1987*, 100 HARV. L. REV. 761, 778-79 (1987).

<sup>21</sup> Peter Schlag, *Law and Phrenology*, 110 HARV. L. REV. 877 (1996).

principles of justice and professional responsibility.<sup>22</sup> Thus, legal education has shifted from a holistic view of law, morality, and justice to a more specialised and technologically driven approach, reflecting broader societal changes.

Early legal education once explored universal questions, linking rules with broader themes of morality and justice. Over time, however, this holistic approach narrowed, with legal thought increasingly focused on technical rules and processes. With globalisation and technology reshaping the landscape, legal education is gravitating towards an American model centred around the Juris Doctor (“JD”) degree. This shift is influenced by three key factors: globalisation, the transition from *polycentric to monocentric models* (where educational authority becomes centralised rather than distributed among multiple institutions), and the *re-professionalisation of the legal field* (emphasising standardisation and specialisation in legal careers). While the American JD model offers a more direct route to licensure, critics argue that its streamlined, commercially focused approach limits access to legal education and restricts pathways for those interested in public law. This focus on a singular, centralised path may also limit perspectives on human rights and access to justice, leading to a concentration of elite, commercially oriented lawyers. For instance, a monocentric model often sidelines smaller, locally-based institutions that could address regional legal needs, narrowing the diversity of legal perspectives available. These changes raise

---

<sup>22</sup> Charles Sampford & David Wood, *Legal Theory and Legal Education – The Next Step*, 1(1) LEGAL EDUC. REV. 107 (1989).

important questions about how legal education can best prepare future lawyers to navigate the complexities of a globalised, technologically advanced world and ensure diverse, equitable representation within the profession.

### III. REIMAGINING LEGAL EDUCATION

The evolution of legal education is increasingly reflecting broader societal movements towards governance-based frameworks that prioritise public participation, shared accountability, and sustainability.<sup>23</sup> This shift is informed by the theoretical contributions of scholars such as Jürgen Habermas and Colin Mayer, whose ideas provide a foundation for understanding the role of law in contemporary society. Habermas's theory of communicative action underscores the necessity of inclusive dialogue in forming legal frameworks, advocating for collaborative decision-making processes that contrast traditional, rigid legal formalism.<sup>24</sup> This perspective aligns with governance-based models that seek to engage diverse stakeholders in the legal process, thereby enhancing the legitimacy and effectiveness of legal outcomes.<sup>25</sup>

Similarly, Mayer's focus on corporate purpose as a catalyst for societal value reflects a significant transition in legal education from a

---

<sup>23</sup> E. van Bemmelen van Gent, *Legal Education: A New Paradigm*, 2 BYNKERSHOEK L. REV. 2 (2012).

<sup>24</sup> JÜRGEN HABERMAS, *THE THEORY OF COMMUNICATIVE ACTION, VOL. 1: REASON AND THE RATIONALIZATION OF SOCIETY* (Beacon Press 1984).

<sup>25</sup> PAUL MAHARG, *TRANSFORMING LEGAL EDUCATION: LEARNING AND TEACHING THE LAW IN THE EARLY TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY* (Ashgate Publishing 2007); Craig Newbery-Jones, *Trying to Do the Right Thing: Experiential Learning, E-Learning, and Employability Skills in Modern Legal Education*, 6 EUR. J.L. & TECH. (2015); David I. C. Thomson, *Defining Experiential Legal Education*, 1 J. EXPERIENTIAL LEARNING 3 (2015).

purely doctrinal approach to one that emphasises socially engaged practice.<sup>26</sup> This shift encourages students to perceive law not merely as a static collection of rules but as a dynamic instrument for institutional change. Governance-based education fosters an understanding of law as a tool for addressing systemic challenges, which is particularly evident in courses that tackle pressing issues such as environmental law, public health governance, and digital ethics.<sup>27</sup> For instance, environmental law courses are increasingly designed to immerse students in policy simulations that require them to navigate the complexities of balancing economic interests with sustainability objectives.<sup>28</sup> Such experiential learning opportunities not only enhance students' understanding of legal principles but also cultivate their ability to think critically about the implications of legal decisions on society and the environment. In the realm of public health law, students engage with the regulatory intricacies involved in pandemic responses, illustrating how governance frameworks

---

<sup>26</sup> Colin Mayer, *The Future of the Corporation and the Economics of Purpose*, 58 J. MGMT. STUD. 887 (2021); Colin Mayer, *The Governance of Corporate Purpose*, (ECGI L. Working Paper No. 609, 2021); Colin Mayer, *Reflections on Corporate Purpose and Performance*, 20 EUR. MGMT. REV. 719 (2023).

<sup>27</sup> Rose Voyvodic & Mary Medcalf, *Advancing Social Justice Through an Interdisciplinary Approach to Clinical Legal Education: The Case of Legal Assistance of Windsor*, 14 WASH. U. J.L. & POL'Y. 101 (2004); Jean De Groof, *Legal Framework for Freedom of Education*, 1 BALANCING FREEDOM, AUTONOMY AND ACCOUNTABILITY IN EDUCATION 25, 25–61 (C. L. Glenn & J. De Groof eds., Wolf Legal Publishers 2012); David L. Borman & Christine Haras, *Something Borrowed: Interdisciplinary Strategies for Legal Education*, 68 J. LEGAL EDUC. 357 (2019); Rajashree K., Chiranjeevi Singai & S. Augustine Shimray, *Advancements in Legal Education in India: Challenges and Opportunities for Interdisciplinary Research*, 8 ASIAN J. LEGAL EDUC. 66 (2021); Giuseppe Resta, *'So Lonely': Comparative Law and the Quest for Interdisciplinary Legal Education*, 37 INT'L J. SEMIOTICS L. 1569 (2024).

<sup>28</sup> John C. Dernbach, *The Essential and the Growing Role of Legal Education in Achieving Sustainability*, 60 J. LEGAL EDUC. 489 (2011); Nicole Graham, *This Is Not a Thing: Land, Sustainability, and Legal Education*, 26 J. ENVTL. L. 395 (2014).

can be leveraged to promote resilience and adaptability in the face of crises.<sup>29</sup>

Experiential learning is central to this transformative approach, bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application. Clinical programmes emphasising policy advocacy and community engagement empower students to apply their legal skills in real-world contexts, fostering a sense of empathy and civic responsibility. These programmes exemplify a governance-based approach by encouraging students to devise solutions that address immediate community needs and align with long-term policy objectives, thereby reinforcing the interconnectedness of legal practice and societal well-being.

The reimagining of legal education towards governance-oriented models is not merely an academic exercise; it is a necessary response to the evolving demands of society. By embracing governance-based frameworks that prioritise public participation and accountability, law schools can better prepare their graduates to navigate and influence the complex legal landscapes of the future.

---

<sup>29</sup> Branka Činčurak Erceg, Anamaria Đanić Čeko & Elena Jerković, *Environmental Law and Cross-Cutting Challenges in Legal Education: Sharing Developments in Croatia*, in MODERNISING EUROPEAN LEGAL EDUCATION (Oliver J. Gstrein et al. eds., Springer 2023); Ilze Jēkabsonsone & Līga Ratniece, *Integrating Sustainability into the Curriculum of Legal Education in Latvia: An Insight into the Main Issues*, 25 J. TCHR. EDUC. SUSTAINABILITY 60 (2024); Angela Balan, *Examining the Ethical and Sustainability Challenges of Legal Education's AI Revolution*, 1 INT'L J. LEGAL PROF. 26 (2024); Marta Poto & Eleanor Murray, *Achieving a Common Future for All Through Sustainability-Conscious Legal Education and Research Methods*, 24 GLOBAL JURIST 157 (2024).

#### **IV. DIMENSIONS FOR INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT IN LEGAL EDUCATION**

Law schools must implement models prioritising participatory governance and adaptive learning to effectively institutionalise governance-based education. Michael Mintrom's theory of policy entrepreneurship posits that educational institutions should function as hubs for innovation, creating environments where students, faculty, and external stakeholders can collaboratively address public challenges.<sup>30</sup> This necessitates the establishment of transparent governance structures that facilitate inclusive decision-making regarding curricula, research priorities, and partnerships, thereby ensuring that educational offerings align with the evolving needs of society.

##### **A. EMBRACING LIFELONG LEARNING**

In addition to participatory governance, law schools must adopt lifelong learning frameworks to keep pace with the rapidly changing legal landscape. The regulatory environment is subject to swift transformations driven by technological advancements and policy reforms, making continuous education a critical component of legal training.<sup>31</sup> Law schools can respond to this need by offering micro-credentials and executive education programmes in emerging fields such as AI governance and

---

<sup>30</sup> Michael A. Mintrom & Paul Norman, *Policy Entrepreneurship and Policy Change*, 37 POL'Y STUD. J. 649 (2009); Michael A. Mintrom, POLICY ENTREPRENEURS AND DYNAMIC CHANGE (Cambridge Univ. Press 2019).

<sup>31</sup> S. Hammer et al., *Legal Educators' Perceptions of Lifelong Learning: Conceptualisation and Practice*, 31 INT'L J. LIFELONG EDUC. 187, 187-201 (2012); A. Babacan & H. Babacan, *Repositioning Lifelong Learning in Legal Education*, 20 WIDENING PARTICIPATION & LIFELONG LEARNING 122, 122-148 (2018); Isaac Maymaysky, *Finding Happiness in the Law: Lifelong Learning as a Path to Meaning and Purpose*, 63(1) SANTA CLARA L. REV. 65 (2023).

blockchain regulation. These initiatives will help graduates maintain professional relevance and adaptability throughout their careers.

### **B. COLLABORATION WITH INDUSTRY AND GOVERNMENT**

Collaboration with industry and government partners is essential for successfully implementing governance-based education. Joint research projects and externships with regulatory bodies provide students with invaluable hands-on experience navigating complex governance frameworks. For instance, students who work alongside financial regulators can participate in designing compliance protocols for fintech companies, thereby gaining practical insights into the operation of law within institutional contexts.<sup>32</sup> Such collaborations enhance students' understanding of legal principles and prepare them to effectively engage with the multifaceted challenges of modern legal practice. The institutional development of legal education must focus on participatory governance, adaptive learning, and collaborative partnerships. By embracing these dimensions, law schools can cultivate a new generation of legal professionals equipped to address the pressing challenges of our time.

As legal frameworks evolve, integrating practical skills in legal technology and governance is becoming increasingly essential for law students. To prepare future legal practitioners, law schools must embed

---

<sup>32</sup> Michael A. Mintrom & Paul Norman, *Policy Entrepreneurship and Policy Change*, 37 POL'Y STUD. J. 649 (2009); MICHAEL A. MINTROM, DEBASHISH MAURYA & A. J. HE, POLICY ENTREPRENEURSHIP: AN ASIAN PERSPECTIVE (Routledge 2021).

technology and experiential learning into their curricula.<sup>33</sup> This approach enhances students' proficiency in contemporary legal tools and equips them with the necessary skills to navigate complex governance challenges.<sup>34</sup>

### **C. EMBEDDING TECHNOLOGY IN LEGAL EDUCATION**

#### **i. Integration of AI Tools**

Law schools should incorporate AI-powered legal research tools, such as Lex Machina, which streamline case analysis and improve research efficiency.<sup>35</sup> These tools enable students to engage with data-driven insights, fostering a deeper understanding of legal precedents and case law.

#### **ii. Utilisation of Blockchain Platforms**

Blockchain technology offers innovative solutions for contract management and verification. By familiarising students with platforms like Kira Systems, which automates contract review, law schools can reduce the administrative burden on future legal practitioners, allowing them to focus on strategic governance tasks.<sup>36</sup>

---

<sup>33</sup> P. Maharg & A. Nair, *Too Many Laws, Too Few Examples: Regulation, Technology, Law, and Legal Education*, BILETA CONFERENCE HANDBOOK (2012); J. Ching, P. Maharg & J. Webb, *Legal Education and Training Review (LETR): Literature Review*, (2013), <http://works.bepress.com/paul-maharg/83/>.

<sup>34</sup> Malcolm Smith, *Technology Law in Legal Education: Recognising the Importance of the Field*, 32 LEGAL EDUC. REV. 19 (2022).

<sup>35</sup> Paul Maharg & Elaine Nicol, *Simulation and Technology in Legal Education: A Systematic Review and Future Research Programme*, LEGAL EDUCATION: SIMULATION IN THEORY AND PRACTICE 17-42 (Richard Grimes, Edwina Phillips & Caroline Strevens eds., Ashgate Publ'g 2014).

<sup>36</sup> John Flood & Lachlan Robb, *Professions and Expertise: How Machine Learning and Blockchain Are Redesigning the Landscape of Professional Knowledge and Organization*, 73 U. MIAMI

### iii. Online Dispute Resolution Systems

The rise of online dispute resolution (“ODR”) systems allows law students to learn about alternative dispute resolution methods. Integrating ODR into the curriculum prepares students for a digital-first legal landscape, enhancing their adaptability and problem-solving skills.<sup>37</sup>

## D. EXPERIENTIAL LEARNING INITIATIVES

Experiential learning constitutes an essential foundation for embedding practical governance skills within law students, allowing them to gain competencies that are otherwise challenging to acquire through traditional lecture-based education alone.<sup>38</sup> Legal clinics, which integrate hands-on activities across various governance domains, offer students direct exposure to real-life scenarios, cultivating indispensable skills in contemporary legal practice.

---

L. REV. 443 (2019); David Goldsworthy, *The Future of Legal Education in the 21st Century*, 41 ADELAIDE L. REV. 243 (2020); John M. Green, *Legaltech and the Future of Startup Lawyering*, MAPPING LEGAL INNOVATION 161 (Antoine Masson & Gavin Robinson eds., 2021); Konstantinos Demertzis, Konstantinos Rantos, Loukas Magafas, Charalambos Skianis & Lazaros Iliadis, *A Secure and Privacy-Preserving Blockchain-Based XAI-Justice System*, 14 INFO. 477 (2023); Julian Webb, *Information Technology & the Future of Legal Education: A Provocation*, 1 L. & HUM. DIGNITY TECH. AGE 72 (2019).

<sup>37</sup> Jeremy Goldberg, *Online Alternative Dispute Resolution and Why Law Schools Should Prepare Future Lawyers for the Online Forum*, 14 PEPP. DISP. RES. L.J. 1 (2014); Dana L. O’Leary, *“Smart” Lawyering: Integrating Technology Competence into the Legal Practice Curriculum*, 19 U.N.H L. REV. 197 (2021); Orna Rabinovich-Einy, *The Past, Present, and Future of Online Dispute Resolution*, 74 CURRENT LEGAL PROBS. 125 (2021).

<sup>38</sup> Francina Cantatore, *Boosting Law Graduate Employability: Using Pro Bono Teaching Clinic to Facilitate Experiential Learning in Commercial Law Subjects*, 25 LEGAL EDUC. REV. 147 (2015); Richard Grimes, *Experiential Learning and Legal Education: The Role of Clinic in UK Law Schools*, KEY DIRECTIONS IN LEGAL EDUCATION (Emma Jones & Fiona Cownie eds., 2020).

### **E. LEGAL CLINICS AND GOVERNANCE EDUCATION**

Legal clinics specialising in environmental governance, human rights advocacy, and policy design effectively bridge theoretical knowledge with practical application. These clinics allow students to work on live cases, providing an immersive experience that nurtures practical problem-solving abilities and builds confidence in managing the intricacies of real-world legal challenges. Students encounter the unpredictability and nuances that typify governance issues through their involvement, learning to adapt legal frameworks to diverse contexts and address dynamic governance concerns. This approach underscores the critical link between practical experience and the successful application of legal skills within governance-focused career paths.

### **F. STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT IN LEGAL CLINICS**

In addition to fostering problem-solving skills, legal clinics offer invaluable opportunities for students to engage with various stakeholders, including government officials, non-governmental organisations, community representatives, and private sector actors. Such interactions provide insights into the complexities of negotiating policy solutions that consider and balance diverse and often competing interests. Engaging directly with these stakeholders allows students to practise and refine the negotiation and advocacy skills essential for effective governance roles as they learn to mediate and facilitate consensus among parties with differing priorities and goals.<sup>39</sup> These experiences are instrumental in preparing law

---

<sup>39</sup> Roger L. Jones Jr., *Integrating Experiential Learning into the Law School Curriculum*, 7 ELON L. REV. 43 (2015); Michael E. Reuter & Jeff Ingham, *The Practice Value of Experiential Legal*

students for governance-based careers, equipping them with the technical skills and the interpersonal acumen required to navigate complex socio-political landscapes.

### **G. SIMULATED LEARNING ENVIRONMENTS IN LEGAL EDUCATION**

Simulated learning environments, such as virtual moot courts and policy simulations, serve as vital components in the experiential learning framework for law students. These immersive, technology-driven platforms extend students' theoretical knowledge by providing practical, hands-on experiences that mirror the complexities of real-world governance challenges. Virtual moot courts are particularly instrumental in honing students' abilities to engage in regulatory negotiations and develop policy frameworks within a structured, risk-free environment. These simulations allow students to practice essential legal skills, such as drafting arguments, delivering oral submissions, and working collaboratively within a simulated judicial or regulatory setting. For instance, students may navigate regulatory issues in international trade or address the intricacies of data privacy laws. By engaging with these nuanced governance challenges, students gain critical exposure to global regulatory frameworks, preparing them for complex legal practices in international

---

*Education: An Examination of Enrollment Patterns, Course Intensity, and Career Relevance*, 22 CLINICAL L. REV. 181 (2015); Lisa A. Henry, *Community Legal Clinics and Clinical Education in the COVID Era: Resilience, Innovation, and Gaps*, 72 U.N.B L.J. 114 (2021); Victor D. Quintanilla et. al., *Experiential Education and Access-to-Justice Within U.S. Law Schools: Designing and Evaluating an Access-to-Justice Service Learning Program Within the First-Year Curriculum*, 7 IND. J. L. & SOC. EQUAL. 88 (2019); Lucy Bleasedale et. al., *Law Clinics: What, Why and How?*, THE CLINICAL LEGAL EDUCATION HANDBOOK 7 (Lucy Thomas & Natalie Johnson eds., 2020).

contexts.<sup>40</sup> Virtual moot courts also enable students to test different legal strategies, fostering adaptability and a deeper understanding of judicial processes in diverse regulatory environments.

Additionally, policy simulations further strengthen students' abilities to apply theoretical knowledge within realistic governance scenarios. In these simulations, students tackle challenges that require balancing policy objectives, legal frameworks, and societal impacts. By engaging in simulated policy-making processes, students explore the complexities of legislative development, assessing the broader implications of legal decisions on communities and industries. This experience allows them to build a comprehensive understanding of governance processes, from analysing policy needs to evaluating outcomes and impacts on various stakeholders.<sup>41</sup> Policy simulations encourage students to think

---

<sup>40</sup> Joanne Yule, Judith McNamara & Margaret Thomas, *Mooting and Technology: To What Extent Does Using Technology Improve the Mooting Experience for Students?*, 20 LEGAL EDUC. REV. 137 (2010); Jane Ng, *Innovating with the Pedagogy-Space-Technology (PST) Framework: The Online Moot Court*, 18 INT'L J. LEARNING SOC. CONTEXTS 52 (2015); Sijie Ouyang & Ping Nai, *Exploring Intelligent Higher Education of Law: Moot Court Based on VR and AI Technology*, in PROCEEDINGS OF THE 2019 INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON PEDAGOGY, COMMUNICATION AND SOCIOLOGY 166 (2019); Miriam Rossner, David Tait & Meghan McCurdy, *Justice Reimagined: Challenges and Opportunities with Implementing Virtual Courts*, 33 CURRENT ISSUES CRIM. JUST. 94 (2021); Hao Li & Jian Xu, *The Application of Virtual Simulation Technology in Law Teaching Practice*, in EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES FOR EDUCATION 27 (Weiguo Jia ed., 2021); Yu Liang et al., *Towards a VR Moot Court for Law Students in Enhancing Practice Experience*, in PROCEEDINGS OF THE 9TH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON VIRTUAL REALITY ("ICVR") 493 (2023); Hong Zhang, *Construction of Digital Moot Court Teaching Practice Platform for Law Major*, 2021 4<sup>TH</sup> INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON INFORMATION SYSTEMS AND COMPUTER AIDED EDUCATION ("ICISCAE 2021") 189 (2021).

<sup>41</sup> Michael I. Luger, *Balancing Pedagogy*, in THINKING LIKE A POLICY ANALYST 213 (Iris Geva-May ed., 2005); Amanda M. Robinson & Mallory Goodridge, *Objective Assessment of Pedagogical Effectiveness and the Human Rights Foreign Policy Simulation Game*, 17 J. POL. SCI. EDUC. 213 (2019); Tiffany Falconer & Zachary MacDonald, *Policy Writing Simulations:*

critically about the responsibilities and consequences of legal interventions and appreciate the socio-political dimensions of governance work.

The fusion of technology and experiential learning methods is essential for equipping students with the practical skills required in contemporary legal practice. Legal education institutions that integrate simulated learning environments cultivate a learning ecosystem where students develop technical skills in legal technology and substantive knowledge in governance. By immersing students in these controlled yet realistic scenarios, law schools enable them to acquire increasingly valued competencies in the legal profession, including adaptability, problem-solving, and a deep understanding of policy impacts on society. This approach positions future lawyers to meet the evolving demands of a more entwined profession with complex global governance issues and technological advancements.

#### **H. COMPARATIVE AND GLOBALISED LEGAL EDUCATION**

Legal education must evolve to prepare students for cross-border governance roles in an increasingly globalised world. This necessitates a curriculum that covers domestic laws and exposes students to diverse legal systems and regulatory frameworks.<sup>42</sup> Comparative law courses are crucial

---

*Pedagogy, Learning Objectives, and Experiential Learning in the Canadian History Classroom*, 45 TEACHING HIST. J. METHODS 18 (2020); Elise F. Kammerer & Benjamin Higashi, *Simulations Research in Political Science Pedagogy: Where is Everyone?*, 17 J. POL. SCI. EDUC. (Supp. 1) 142 (2021); Bruno Dente & Gianluca Vecchi, *Teaching Public Policy by Interactive Pedagogy*, in HANDBOOK OF TEACHING PUBLIC POLICY (2024).

<sup>42</sup> Simon Chesterman, *The Globalisation of Legal Education*, SING. J. LEGAL STUD. 58 (2009); PAUL MAHARG, *TRANSFORMING LEGAL EDUCATION: LEARNING AND TEACHING THE LAW IN THE EARLY TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY* (2007); N. Ahmad, *Adapting Indian Legal Education to the Demands of a Globalising World*, 10 GER. L.J. 847 (2009); Ole Hammerslev,

in fostering cultural competence and regulatory fluency among students. Students learn how jurisdictions approach similar legal issues by studying various legal systems. For example, courses focused on EU data privacy laws, particularly the General Data Protection Regulation (“**GDPR**”), equip students with the skills to navigate the complexities of cross-border compliance. This includes understanding the significant differences between the GDPR and the United States’ sectoral privacy framework, which is characterised by a more fragmented approach to data protection.<sup>43</sup>

### **I. COLLABORATIVE PROGRAMMES AND CROSS-CULTURAL EXCHANGE**

Collaborative programmes between law schools across different jurisdictions further enrich governance-based education by promoting cross-cultural exchange and expanding students’ understanding of diverse governance models. These partnerships often involve joint courses, exchange programmes, and comparative study projects, enabling students to engage with various governance structures and regulatory practices. Exposure to different legal systems broadens students’ perspectives, encouraging them to think critically about the adaptability and limitations

---

*Globalisation and Education: Reconfigurations in Location, Scale, Form and Content*, 2 LAWYERS IN 21<sup>ST</sup> CENTURY SOCIETIES 161 (Richard L. Abel, Hilary Sommerlad, Ole Hammerslev & Ulrike Schultz eds., 2022).

<sup>43</sup> SIMONE RAGONE & GUIDO SMORTO, *COMPARATIVE LAW: A VERY SHORT INTRODUCTION* (2023); Diana Kapiszewski, Daniel Groen & Kristin Newman, *Constitutionalism with Adjectives: Conceptual Innovation in the Comparative Study of Law*, 49 LAW AND SOC. ENQUIRY 178 (2024); Fernando P. Shecaira, *Legal Scholarship as a Source of Law*, LEGAL SCHOLARSHIP AS A SOURCE OF LAW 43 (2024); James Gordley, *Comparative Law and Legal Education*, 75 TUL. L. REV. 1003, 1007 (2001).

of their domestic legal frameworks.<sup>44</sup> Such interactions cultivate a broader awareness of global legal issues, equipping students to approach governance challenges with an appreciation for cultural diversity and the nuances of legal pluralism.<sup>45</sup> Through these cross-cultural exchanges, students develop the skills to collaborate effectively with international partners, an increasingly vital competence in multinational legal practice.

## **J. GOVERNANCE-BASED EDUCATION**

Governance-based education prioritises the contextual adaptation of legal frameworks over the direct transplantation of foreign legal models. This approach emphasises the importance of modifying regulatory frameworks to align with local conditions, ensuring that governance solutions are functionally effective and culturally congruent. In contrast to simply importing external legal standards, governance-based education teaches students to consider the unique socio-cultural, economic, and political dynamics of the jurisdictions in which they operate. Such adaptability is crucial in an increasingly globalised world where legal solutions must not only comply with international standards but also resonate meaningfully with local customs and practices.<sup>46</sup>This

---

<sup>44</sup> CHRISTOPHE JAMIN & WILLIAM VAN CAENEGEM, *THE INTERNATIONALISATION OF LEGAL EDUCATION: GENERAL REPORT FOR THE VIENNA CONGRESS OF THE INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY OF COMPARATIVE LAW*, 20–26 (2016); T. D. Atkins, *#Fortheculture: Generation Z and the Future of Legal Education*, 26 MICH. J. RACE & L. 115 (2020); Ming Li, *Adapting Legal Education for the Changing Landscape of Regional Emerging Economies: A Dynamic Framework for Law Majors*, J. KNOWLEDGE ECON. (2023).

<sup>45</sup> RICHARD SUSSKIND, *LAW'S FUTURE*, IN *THE FUTURE OF LAW: FACING THE CHALLENGES OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY* (Oxford 1998; Online ed., Oxford Academic Oct. 31, 2023); LUCA SILIQUINI-CINELLI & THOMAS GIDDENS, EDs., *BIOPOLITICS AND STRUCTURE IN LEGAL EDUCATION* (1st ed. 2023).

<sup>46</sup> Michał Kuziemski & Gianluca Misuraca, *AI Governance in the Public Sector: Three Tales from the Frontiers of Automated Decision-Making in Democratic Settings*, 44 TELECOMM. POL'Y

context-sensitive approach to legal education fosters an understanding of law as a tool for governance that must be nuanced and responsive to specific community needs, enhancing legal interventions' overall efficacy and sustainability.

### **K. INCORPORATING INCLUSION AND PLURALISM IN LEGAL EDUCATION**

In response to contemporary governance frameworks that emphasise inclusive and participatory decision-making, it is crucial for law schools to integrate principles of inclusion and pluralism within both their governance structures and curricula. In actively promoting pluralism, legal education can better equip students to engage with diverse communities and their distinctive legal needs, fostering a legal profession that values inclusivity and representation. Embracing a pluralistic approach within legal education helps cultivate empathy, cross-cultural competence, and an appreciation for the varied legal traditions that inform modern governance, ensuring that future lawyers are adept at navigating and supporting diversity in legal practice.<sup>47</sup>

---

(2020); Prashant Bhaskar, C. K. Tiwari & Anand Joshi, *Blockchain in Education Management: Present and Future Applications*, 18 INTERACTIVE TECH. & SMART EDUC. 1 (2021); Jin Ho Choi, Kristin E. Hickman, Amy B. Monahan & Daniel Schwarcz, *ChatGPT Goes to Law School*, 71 J. LEGAL EDUC. 387 (2022); Bendik Bygstad, Eirik Øvrelid, Sten Ludvigsen & Marianne Dæhlen, *From Dual Digitalization to Digital Learning Space: Exploring the Digital Transformation of Higher Education*, 182 COMPUTERS & EDUC. (2022).

<sup>47</sup> Jonathan Mawere, *Decolonising Legal Education in South Africa: Review of African Indigenous Law in the Curriculum*, 14 PRETORIA STUDENT L. REV. 31 (2020); Oscar J. Salinas, *Secondary Courses Taught by Secondary Faculty: (Personal) Call to Fully Integrate Skills Faculty and Skills Courses into the Law School Curriculum Ahead of the NextGenBar Exam*, 107 MINN. L. REV. 2663 (2023); Etienne Toussaint, *The Purpose of Legal Education* (Mar. 4, 2023), 111 CAL. L. REV. 1 (2023).

#### **L. IMPORTANCE OF DIVERSE PERSPECTIVES**

Incorporating perspectives from indigenous law, feminist legal theory, and non-Western traditions is foundational to developing a well-rounded understanding of governance systems. These perspectives enrich the legal curriculum and prepare students to address the complex legal issues encountered by different communities, particularly those historically marginalised or operating under alternative governance frameworks.<sup>48</sup> Indigenous legal traditions, for example, provide valuable insights into community-centred governance and restorative justice practices, highlighting approaches that are collaborative and often focus on healing rather than punishment. Such practices are increasingly recognised as effective in supporting social cohesion and are relevant in contemporary legal systems aiming to address underlying causes of conflict. Similarly, feminist legal theory challenges traditional power structures within the law, advocating for gender equity and inclusivity in legal frameworks. By questioning long-standing norms and power imbalances, feminist theory prompts students to consider how legal structures impact individuals differently based on gender and to advocate for laws that promote equality and justice across all genders.

Furthermore, non-Western legal traditions offer alternative approaches to conflict resolution and social justice, which are especially pertinent in a globalised legal landscape. Many non-Western traditions

---

<sup>48</sup> H.B.A. Sani, *State Law and Legal Pluralism: Towards an Appraisal*, 52 J. LEGAL PLURALISM & UNOFFICIAL L. 82 (2020); Brian Z. Tamanaha, *Legal Pluralism Across the Global South: Colonial Origins and Contemporary Consequences*, 53(2) J. LEG. PLUR. & UNOFFICIAL L.; RICHARD SANDBERG, *SUBVERSIVE LEGAL HISTORY: A MANIFESTO FOR THE FUTURE OF LEGAL EDUCATION* (1st ed. 2021).

emphasise reconciliation and mediation over adversarial litigation, reflecting values of community harmony and sustainable solutions to disputes.<sup>49</sup> Understanding these diverse legal traditions broadens students' perspectives, equipping them to approach legal issues with sensitivity and creativity. This inclusive and pluralistic curriculum encourages law students to think critically about how different legal approaches can coexist, offering culturally attuned solutions to governance challenges in both domestic and international contexts.

### **M. THE ROLE OF INCLUSIVE LEGAL EDUCATION IN GOVERNANCE**

Integrating inclusion and pluralism into legal education not only prepares students for a more culturally and socially responsive legal profession but also aligns with the broader objectives of governance in an increasingly diverse and interconnected world. By embracing diverse perspectives, legal education institutions can cultivate a new generation of legal practitioners capable of advocating for inclusive policies and developing legal frameworks that address the needs of all societal segments. This inclusive approach to legal education not only supports the professional development of students but also strengthens the

---

<sup>49</sup> C.M. Shields & K.A. Hesbol, *Transformative Leadership Approaches to Inclusion, Equity, and Social Justice*, 30 J. SCH. LEADERSHIP 3 (2020); Peter S. Berman, *Understanding Global Legal Pluralism: From Local to Global, from Descriptive to Normative*, THE OXFORD HANDBOOK OF GLOBAL LEGAL PLURALISM 1 (M. J. Abuzaid & H. S. Glover eds., 2020); E. Lodi, L. Perrella, G.L. Lepri, M.L. Scarpa & P. Patrizi, *Use of Restorative Justice and Restorative Practices at School: A Systematic Literature Review*, 19 INT'L J. ENVTL. RESEARCH & PUB. HEALTH 96 (2022); L.-A. Thio, *Pluralism, Minority Protection, and Communitarianism in Singapore: An Alternative Model to Actor-Based Agency*, in MINORITY RIGHTS AND SOCIAL CHANGE (K. Topidi & E. Relaño Pastor eds., 2024).

capacity of the legal profession to contribute meaningfully to equitable governance.

#### **N. STRUCTURAL REFORMS FOR INCLUSION**

Achieving genuine inclusion within legal education requires policy adjustments and substantial structural reforms. Such reforms are crucial in creating a truly diverse and inclusive academic environment that goes beyond tokenistic representation to ensure meaningful participation. To this end, law schools must introduce initiatives like scholarships, mentorship programmes, and community outreach efforts aimed explicitly at attracting and supporting students from marginalised backgrounds. These measures help cultivate a diverse student body, ensuring all voices are represented, respected, and valued within the academic community.<sup>50</sup>

#### **O. MENTORSHIP PROGRAMMES**

Mentorship programmes that connect students from marginalised backgrounds with experienced legal professionals or alumni can provide critical guidance, networking opportunities, and career support. Mentors serve as role models, offering insights into navigating the challenges of legal education and the professional landscape. These relationships enhance students' educational experiences and empower them to envision successful legal careers, fostering a sense of belonging and resilience within the institution. Effective mentorship can particularly impact first-

---

<sup>50</sup> Faiza Bhabha, *Towards a Pedagogy of Diversity in Legal Education*, 52 OSGOODE HALL L.J. 59 (2014); A.M. Boles, *Seeking Inclusion from the Inside Out: Towards a Paradigm of Culturally Proficient Legal Education*, 11 CHARLESTON L. REV. 209 (2017); T.D. Atkins, #FortheCulture: *Generation Z and the Future of Legal Education*, 26 MICH. J. RACE & L. 115 (2020); L. Herrera & L.G. Trubek, *The Emerging Legal Architecture for Social Justice*, 44 N.Y.U. REV. L. & SOC. CHANGE 355 (2020).

generation law students or those from historically underrepresented communities.

#### **P. OUTREACH INITIATIVES**

Outreach initiatives to schools and communities that may not traditionally consider a legal career can be transformative in broadening access to legal education. Proactive engagement with these communities through informational sessions, workshops, and career guidance programmes can raise awareness about the opportunities within the legal field. By demystifying the pathways to law school and creating a supportive entry process, outreach efforts can encourage a more diverse applicant pool, expanding access to legal education for those who may lack exposure to the profession or perceive it as inaccessible.

#### **Q. PARTICIPATORY GOVERNANCE MODELS**

Structural reforms must extend beyond admissions and financial support to include changes in governance that reflect a commitment to inclusion. Participatory governance models in legal education institutions can create an environment of shared responsibility, inclusivity, and accountability. These models involve students, faculty, and community stakeholders in decision-making processes, fostering a more democratic institutional culture responsive to all constituents' needs and perspectives.<sup>51</sup> This collaborative approach to governance encourages

---

<sup>51</sup> E. T. Kim, *Who's learning what? Toward participatory legal pedagogy*, 43(2) HARV. C.R.-C.L.L. REV. 633-638(2008); J. Salmi & A. D'Addio, *Policies for achieving inclusion in higher education*, 5(1) POLICY REV. HIGHER EDUC. 47-72 (2020); A. I. Tsaoussi, *Using soft skills courses to inspire law teachers: a new methodology for a more humanistic legal education*, 54(1) Law Teacher 1 (2019).

transparency and cultivates a sense of ownership among students, empowering them to contribute actively to shaping the policies and practices of their educational institutions.

Including diverse voices in the governance of law schools can better address the needs of underrepresented students, ensuring that institutional policies and practices reflect a genuine commitment to inclusivity. Furthermore, participatory governance provides valuable experiential learning for students, preparing them to engage in governance and policy-making within their future legal careers.

Incorporating inclusion and pluralism into the core structure of legal education is essential for equipping future legal practitioners to engage with the complexities of an increasingly diverse society. Law schools can cultivate an environment that upholds inclusivity and pluralism by embracing a multiplicity of perspectives, implementing structural reforms such as scholarships, mentorship, and outreach programmes, and fostering participatory governance models. This approach not only enriches the educational experience but also prepares students to practice law in a way that respects and values the diversity of the communities they will serve.<sup>52</sup>

---

<sup>52</sup> Elizabeth Mertz, Wairimu Njogu & Susan Gooding, *What Difference Does Difference Make? The Challenge for Legal Education*, 48 J. LEGAL EDUC. 1 (1998); Simon Rigby, Damien Toohey, Louise Toohey & Danielle McNamara, *Legal Education for Non-Lawyers as “Legal First Aid”: A Participatory Inquiry into Law for Social Work Students*, 34 LEGAL EDUC. REV. 25 (2024); Zachary E. Shapiro, et al., *The Scholarly and Pedagogical Benefits of the Legal Laboratory: Lessons from the Consortium for the Advanced Study of Brain Injury at Yale Law School*, 51 J.L. MED. & ETHICS 672 (2023).

**R. EMPHASISING INTERDISCIPLINARY COLLABORATION**

Interdisciplinary collaboration plays a pivotal role in transformative legal education by allowing students to integrate knowledge from diverse fields, thus enhancing their capacity to address complex legal issues. As governance increasingly intersects with areas such as political science, economics, and sociology, legal professionals must possess a broad understanding of these fields to effectively interpret and navigate multi-dimensional challenges. Working alongside peers from various disciplines enables law students to appreciate the socio-economic and political contexts underpinning governance issues and consider legal solutions responsive to these broader dynamics.<sup>53</sup>

Such interdisciplinary approaches cultivate cross-functional competencies that are especially relevant for roles in policy advising, compliance, and public administration, where an understanding of governance systems and regulatory environments is crucial. These collaborative learning experiences foster a mindset that values multi-perspective analysis, which is critical for shaping well-rounded professionals who can adapt their legal expertise to diverse, real-world situations.<sup>54</sup>

---

<sup>53</sup> Arpita Sengupta & Debarati Rakshit, *Modernization of Legal Education in India: The Interdisciplinary Approach to Education*, 2 ASIAN J. LEGAL EDUC. 57 (2015); Wolfgang Schäfke et al., *Socialisation to Interdisciplinary Legal Education: An Empirical Assessment*, 52 LAW TEACHER 273 (2018); Yang Liu, *From Interdisciplinary Approach to Interdisciplines: The New Liberal Arts Development Road of Legal Education*, 19 FRONTIERS L. CHINA 191 (2024).

<sup>54</sup> Jan L. Ammons & Susan K. Mills, *Course-Embedded Assessments for Evaluating Cross-Functional Integration and Improving the Teaching-Learning Process*, 20 ISSUES IN ACCT. EDUC. 1 (2005); Ibrahim M. Abdul Ghani Azmi, *Challenges for Legal Education in the Era of I.R.4.0.*,

## S. ETHICAL LEADERSHIP AND CONTINUOUS LEARNING

Ethical leadership stands as a core tenet of transformative legal education. In an era marked by complex moral and ethical dilemmas within governance, legal education must go beyond technical proficiency to cultivate a sense of ethical responsibility among students. Embedding ethics within the curriculum encourages future legal professionals to evaluate the implications of their decisions on broader society, fostering a commitment to justice, equity, and accountability.<sup>55</sup>

Law schools can facilitate this by incorporating case studies on ethical dilemmas, creating forums for ethical debate, and offering experiential learning opportunities where students grapple with real-world scenarios that test their values and decision-making processes. Such an emphasis on ethical leadership prepares students to engage in governance roles with integrity and a clear moral compass, qualities that are indispensable in fostering public trust in legal and governance institutions.

Adopting governance-based models in legal education that champion interdisciplinary collaboration, ethical leadership, and continuous learning is essential for shaping transformative institutions. In a learning environment that values ethical integrity, embraces

---

11 UUM J. LEGAL STUD. 27 (2020); Terrence Mottershead & Silvia Magliozzi, *Can Competencies Drive Change in the Legal Profession?*, 11 U. ST. THOMAS L.J. 51 (2013).

<sup>55</sup> Donald J. Polden, *Educating Law Students for Leadership Roles and Responsibilities*, 39 U. TOL. L. REV. 353 (2007); Deborah L. Rhode, *Legal Ethics in Legal Education*, 16 CLINICAL L. REV. 43 (2009); Susan L. Brooks & Robert G. Madden, *Epistemology and Ethics in Relationship-Centered Legal Education and Practice*, 56 N.Y.L. SCH. L. REV. 331 (2011); Romeo P. Peña, *Legal Awareness and Perceived Ethical Leadership of Educational Administrators in State Universities and Colleges (SUCs) in Region 3*, 6 INT'L J. ACCT. INFO SCI. & LEADERSHIP (2013); Ann C. Dzurianin, Rebecca T. Shortridge & Patricia A. Smith, *Building Ethical Leaders: A Way to Integrate and Assess Ethics Education*, 115 J. BUS. ETHICS 101 (2013).

interdisciplinary insights, and prioritises ongoing professional development, law schools can cultivate graduates who are prepared to meet the dynamic needs of the legal profession and contribute positively to governance. This multi-dimensional approach to legal education supports the creation of a new generation of legal professionals who are not only skilled in legal principles but are also equipped with the critical, ethical, and adaptive capacities necessary to address the complexities of modern governance landscapes.

#### **T. PARTNERSHIPS WITH REGULATORY BODIES AND INDUSTRY LEADERS**

Forging partnerships with regulatory bodies and industry leaders is a foundational component in advancing transformative legal education, as it provides students with the critical exposure needed to bridge theoretical learning and practical application. These collaborations can manifest through internships, guest lectures, and joint research projects, each offering unique opportunities for students to engage directly with professionals and gain first-hand experience of governance in action. For example, internships with regulatory agencies allow students to observe and participate in policy formulation, compliance monitoring, and enforcement activities. Likewise, guest lectures from seasoned professionals introduce students to the latest developments and challenges in their fields, facilitating an enriched understanding of the complex landscape of governance.<sup>56</sup>

---

<sup>56</sup> Angela AuCoin, Gordon L. Porter & Kate Baker-Korotkov, *New Brunswick's Journey to Inclusive Education*, 49 PROSPECTS 313 (2020); Tifani D. Atkins, *#ForTheCulture: Generation*

Joint research initiatives between academia and industry further strengthen this collaborative model, enabling students and faculty to engage in applied research that addresses current regulatory challenges and policy issues. Through these initiatives, students can witness how theoretical governance principles operate within organisational constraints and cultural contexts, gaining insights that are crucial for governance-based roles. This real-world engagement fosters skills in critical analysis, strategic problem-solving, and adaptive thinking, equipping students to function effectively within professional regulatory environments and complex governance structures.

#### **U. THE ROLE OF DIGITAL INFRASTRUCTURE**

Integrating digital infrastructure within legal education supports and expands transformative learning models. Virtual classrooms, online policy simulations, and collaborative research platforms provide the technological foundation for a global, interactive learning experience. These tools facilitate cross-border and interdisciplinary engagement, allowing students to collaborate with peers, practitioners, and academics worldwide.<sup>57</sup> For instance, online policy simulations present students with

---

*and the Future of Legal Education*, 26 MICH. J. RACE & L. 115 (2020); Enis Elezi & Christopher Bamber, *Experiential Examination of Higher Education Partnerships in the UK: A Knowledge Management Perspective*, 26 J. KNOWLEDGE MGMT. 232 (2022); Jasim J. Waham, Ahmad Asfahani & Rahma A. Ulfa, *International Collaboration in Higher Education: Challenges and Opportunities in a Globalized World*, 1 EDUJAVARE: INT'L. J. EDUC. RES. 49 (2023); Andrew S. Gutierrez, Joseph Fox & Jennifer Clifton, *The Significance of Partnerships to Future University Missions: A Systematic Literature Review*, INNOVATIONS EDUC. & TEACHING INT'L 1 (2024); Aysha R. Memon & Sadiyah Jivraj, *Trust, Courage and Silence: Carving Out Decolonial Spaces in Higher Education Through Student–Staff Partnerships*, DECOLONISATION AND THE LAW SCHOOL 7 (2024).

<sup>57</sup> Satish Nambisan, Kalle Lyytinen & Youngjin Yoo, *Digital Innovation: Towards a Transdisciplinary Perspective*, in HANDBOOK OF DIGITAL INNOVATION 2 (2020); Xueling

realistic governance scenarios in which they must develop strategies, negotiate stakeholder interests, and implement policy solutions. These simulations mirror the complexities of real-world regulatory environments, giving students invaluable practical experience in navigating legal, ethical, and procedural dimensions of governance. This digital approach not only expands access to diverse perspectives but also cultivates essential skills in communication, diplomacy, and technological fluency—competencies increasingly critical in a globalised governance context.

Collaborative research platforms further enhance the digital learning experience by providing shared spaces where students, faculty, and industry professionals can co-create knowledge and contribute to projects that tackle pressing governance challenges. Such platforms encourage interdisciplinary research and offer law students the opportunity to contribute meaningfully to current policy debates, preparing them for future roles in law, policy-making, and international governance.

Transformative legal education necessitates a holistic model that incorporates interdisciplinary collaboration, ethical leadership, continuous learning, and robust partnerships with regulatory bodies and industry

---

Mao, *Promoting Infrastructure Development through Experimental Learning in Law School Curriculum using Deep Learning Techniques to Improve Academic Performance*, 20 COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN & APP. 274 (2023); Amna A. Rana, Farheen Zulfiqar & Shahid Masud, *The Legal and Regulatory Framework for Cryptocurrency and Fintech in Pakistan: Challenges and Policy Recommendations*, 2 UCP J.L. & LEGAL EDUC. 1 (2023); Mohd Huda, *Between Accessibility and Adaptability of Digital Platform: Investigating Learners' Perspectives on Digital Learning Infrastructure*, 14 HIGHER EDUC. SKILLS & WORK-BASED LEARNING 1 (2023).

stakeholders. By embedding these elements within the educational experience, law schools can cultivate graduates who are not only well-versed in legal principles but are also adept at addressing the demands of governance within diverse, real-world settings. Leveraging digital infrastructure is also vital, as it enables dynamic learning environments that facilitate collaboration, expand access to global perspectives, and prepare students for the complex digital realities of contemporary governance. Through these multifaceted approaches, law schools can ensure that their graduates are equipped with the knowledge, practical experience, and adaptive skills necessary to thrive and contribute to the evolving landscape of governance.<sup>58</sup>

## V. CONCLUSION: THE WAY FORWARD – INSTITUTIONALISING VERSATILITY IN LEGAL EDUCATION

The transition from a rights-based to a governance-based framework in law calls for a transformative shift in legal education. Law schools must institutionalise versatility by rethinking curricula, reshaping governance models, and forming strategic partnerships that emphasise interdisciplinary collaboration and ethical leadership. As the legal profession evolves, educational institutions must adapt to equip graduates with the knowledge of legal systems and the skills needed to address the interconnected challenges of global governance.

---

<sup>58</sup> Gerald P. Lopez, *Transform Don't Just Tinker with Legal Education*, 23 CLINICAL L. REV. 471 (2017); Geo Quinot, *Transformative Legal Education*, 129 S. AFRICA L.J. 411-413 (2012); Maha Alenezi, *Digital Learning and Digital Institution in Higher Education*, 13 EDUC. SCI. 88 (2023).

Law schools should broaden their curricula to cover interdisciplinary fields that reflect the complexity of contemporary governance to foster a versatile education. Technology, environmental law, and international relations courses are essential for preparing students for a globalised professional landscape. Additionally, adopting participatory governance structures that engage students, faculty, and community stakeholders supports inclusive, accountable decision-making, ensuring a diversity of perspectives shapes legal education.<sup>59</sup>

Digital innovation is pivotal in creating dynamic learning environments that facilitate collaboration and remote engagement. Technologies such as virtual classrooms, policy simulations, and collaborative research platforms allow students to connect with peers and experts globally, enhancing their understanding of diverse governance frameworks. Integrating these digital tools supports flexible learning and prepares students for the technological advancements that increasingly define legal practice.<sup>60</sup>

By implementing these curricular and structural reforms, law schools play a crucial role in shaping future governance systems that are transparent, equitable, and accountable. Graduates with the skills to

---

<sup>59</sup> Angela AuCoin, Gordon L. Porter & Kate Baker-Korotkov, *New Brunswick's Journey to Inclusive Education*, 49 PROSPECTS 313 (2020); Tifani D. Atkins, *#ForTheCulture: Generation and the Future of Legal Education*, 26 MICH J. RACE & L. 115 (2020).

<sup>60</sup> Satish Nambisan, Kalle Lyytinen & Youngjin Yoo, *Digital Innovation: Towards a Transdisciplinary Perspective*, in HANDBOOK OF DIGITAL INNOVATION 2 (2020); Xueling Mao, *Promoting Infrastructure Development through Experimental Learning in Law School Curriculum using Deep Learning Techniques to Improve Academic Performance*, 20 COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN & APP. 274 (2023); Amna A. Rana, Farheen Zulfiqar & Shahid Masud, *The Legal and Regulatory Framework for Cryptocurrency and Fintech in Pakistan: Challenges and Policy Recommendations*, 2 UCP J.L. & LEGAL EDUC. 1 (2023).

navigate complex governance environments will be valuable assets in roles such as policy advising, compliance, and diverse legal practices. Ultimately, the future of legal education lies in embracing a governance-based model that prioritises adaptability, interdisciplinary learning, and ethical leadership. Reinforcing lifelong learning, law schools ensure that graduates are equipped to continually evolve and address the multifaceted challenges of the modern world. Through these advancements, law schools uphold their significance in developing robust governance frameworks that can respond effectively to global challenges, affirming their role as essential contributors to accountable and responsive governance systems.