

Bibliography

S. No.

Sources of Research

Primary Sources

Statutes, Treaties, Agreements and Conventions

1. The Patents Act, 1970 (India)
2. Copyright Act, 1957 (India)
3. Copyright Act of 1976 (USA)
4. Patents Act, 1977 (UK)
5. Copyright, Designs and Patents Act, 1988 (UK)
6. Patents Act, 1990 (Australia)
7. Patent Regulations, 1991 (Australia)
8. Berne Convention on the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works, 1886
9. Paris Convention on Protection of Industrial Property, 1883
10. Patent Cooperation Treaty, 1970
11. European Patent Convention, 1973
12. Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights, 1994

Secondary Sources

Books

1. Boehm, The British Patent System: Administration (1967)
2. Brian Z. Tamanaha, What is Law? (2017)
3. C.B. Macpherson, The Political Theory of Possessive Individualism (1977)
4. Charu C. Aggarwal, *Neural Networks and Deep Learning* (Springer)
5. Craig A. Nard, The Law of Patents (2008).
6. D.P. Mittal, Indian Patent Law & Procedure (2002).
7. Daniel C. Dennett, Brainchildren: Essays on Designing Minds (1998)
8. David Bainbridge, Intellectual Property ((2009)
9. Donna J. Haraway, Simians, Cyborgs, And Women: The Reinvention of Nature (1991)
10. Edward W. Ploman, and L. Clark Hamilton, Copyright: Intellectual Property in the Information Age (1980).

11. Eric A. Posner, *Law and Social Norms* (2000)
12. Friedrich Carl von Savigny, *System des heutigen Römischen rechts*(1840).
13. G. Dufield and U. Suthersanen, *Global Intellectual Property Law* (2008)
14. G.S. Alexander, *An Introduction to Property Theory* (2012)
15. Gaius, *Institutiones* 30 (1904).
16. Giles S. Rich, *The “Exclusive Right” Since Aristotle* (1990)
17. Gopal Sreenivasan, *The Limits of Lockean Rights in Property* (1995)
18. Graeme Gooday and Steven Wilf (Ed.), *Patent Cultures: Diversity and Harmonization in Historical Perspective* 38-68 (2022)
19. Hans Kelsen, *General Theory of Law and State* 94 (1949)
20. J. Bentham, *An Introduction to the Principles of Morals and Legislation* (1789).
21. J. Waldron, *The Right to Private Property* (1988)
22. J.E. Penner, *The Idea of Property in Law* (1997)
23. Janice M. Mueller, *Patent Law* (2009)
24. John Austin, *Lectures on Jurisprudence: Or, the Philosophy of Positive Law, Vol. I* (1885)
25. John Gladstone Mills et al., *Patent Law Fundamentals* (2022)
26. John Rawls, *A Theory of Justice* (1999)
27. Kenneth W. Dobyns, *The Patent Office Pony—A History of the Early Patent Office* (1994)
28. Louis Devaux, *Protection *Interatialis* et de Legislation Compare* (1982).
29. Lionel Bentley & Brad Sherman, *Intellectual Property Law* (2009)
30. Lyman Ray Patterson, *Copyright in the Historical Perspective* (1968)
31. Marci Hamilton, *The Historical and Philosophical Underpinnings of the Copyright Clause* (1999)
32. Michael A. Epstein, *Epstein on Intellectual Property* (2006)
33. Nick Bostrom, *Superintelligence: Paths, Dangers, And Strategies* (2014)
34. Nuno Pires de Carvalho, *The TRIPS Regime of Patent Rights* (2010)
35. Peter Stein, *The Character and Influence of the Roman Civil Law* (1988)
36. Pamela McCorduck, *Machines Who Think: A Personal Inquiry Into The History And Prospects of Artificial Intelligence* (2004)
37. Paul Goldstein, *Copyright: Principles, Law, And Practice* (1989)
38. Phillip W. Grubb & Peter R. Thomsen, *Patents for Chemicals, Pharmaceuticals, and Biotechnology – Fundamentals of Global Law, Practice and Strategy* (2010)

39. P. Narayanan, *Patent Law* (2018)
 40. R. Nozick, *Anarchy, State and Utopia* (1974)
 41. RWM Dias, *Jurisprudence* (1985)
 42. *Research Handbook on the Interpretation and Enforcement of Intellectual Property under WTO Rules, Volumes I & II* (Carlos M. Correa ed., 2010)
 43. Ronan Deazley, *On the Origin of the Right to Copy: Charting the Movement of Copyright Law in Eighteenth Century Britain* (2004)
 44. Sam Ricketson and Jane C. Ginsburg, *International Copyright and Neighbouring Rights, The Berne Convention and Beyond, Volume I* 361-362 (2010)
 45. Samuel Moyn, *The Last Utopia: Human Rights in History* (2010)
 46. Stan Franklin, *History, Motivations, and Core Themes*, in *The Cambridge Handbook of Artificial Intelligence* 15 (Keith, Frankish, William, M. Ramsey eds., 2014)
 47. VK Ahuja, *Law of Copyright and Neighbouring Rights, National and International Perspectives* (2015)
 48. W. Kendall, *John Locke and the Doctrine of Majority Rule* (1941)
- Journal Articles**
1. Adam D Moore, *Intellectual Property, Innovation, and Social Progress: The Case Against Incentive Based Arguments* (2011) 26 *Hamline Law Review* 602, 629
 2. Amir H. Khoury, *Intellectual Property Rights for Hubots: On the Legal Implications of Human-like Robots as Innovators and Creators*, 35 *Cardozo Arts & Ent. L.J.* 635, 665 (2017)
 3. Andreas Matthias, *The responsibility gap: Ascribing Responsibility for the Actions of Learning Automata* (2004) 6 *Ethics and Information Technology* 175-183
 4. Arthur R. Miller, *Copyright Protection for Computer Programs, Databases, and Computer-Generated Works: Is Anything New Since CONTU?*, 106 *HARV. L. REV.* 977, 1073 (1993).
 5. B. Hattenbach and J. Glucoft, *Patents In An Era Of Infinite Monkeys And Artificial Intelligence* (2015) 19 *Stanford Technology Law Review* 32-5.
 6. Bingbin Lu, *A theory of 'authorship transfer' and its application to the context of Artificial Intelligence creations*, *Queen Mary Journal of Intellectual Property*, Vol. 11 No. 1, pp. 2–24 (2021)
 7. Britta van Beers, *The Changing Nature of Law's Natural Person: The Impact of*

Emerging Technologies on the Legal Concept of the Person

- 18 GERMAN L.J. 560 (2017).
8. C.M. Rose, *Property as story telling perspectives of Game Theory, Narrative Theory and Feminist Theory* Yale JL & Human 2 (1990): 37, 44-48
 9. Carys Craig & Ian Kerr, *The Death of the AI Author*, 52 OTTAWA L. REV. 31 (2020)
 10. Carys J. Craig, *The AI-Copyright Challenge: Tech-Neutrality, Authorship, and the Public Interest* 12-2021,
https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4014811
 11. Chris Peers, *Genghis Khan And The Mongol War Machine* 72 (2015).
 12. Christopher Buccafusco, *A Theory of Copyright Authorship*, 102 VA L. REV. 1229, 1261 (2016)
 13. Curtis E.A. Karnow, *The Opinion of Machines*, 19 COLUM. SCI. & TECH. L. REV. 136, 143 (2017).
 14. D. Armitage, *John Locke, Carolina and the Two Treatises of Government* JI. Pol. Theory 32 (2004) 632
 15. D. Knowles, *Hegel on Property and Personality* Phil. Q. 33: 45, 51
 16. D. Vaver, *Sprucing Up Patent Law* (2011) 22 Intellectual Property Law Journal 63-81, at 66
 17. Danielle Keats Citron & Frank Pasquale, *The Scored Society: Due Process for Automated Predictions* 89 Wash. L. Rev. 1, 1 (2014).
 18. Dave Fagundes, *What We Talk About When We Talk About Persons: The Language of a Legal Fiction* 114 Harv. L. Rev. 1745, 1750 (2001)
 19. Diane Proudfoot, *Anthropomorphism and AI: Turing's Much Misunderstood Imitation Game*, 175 ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE 950, 951 (2011).
 20. Dr. Axel Walz and Kay Firth-Butterfield, *Implementing Ethics into Artificial Intelligence: A Contribution, from a Legal Perspective, to the Development of an AI Governance Regime* 18 Duke L. & Tech. Rev. 176 (2019)
 21. Edward C. Walterscheid, *The Early Evolution of United States Patent Law: Antecedents (5 Part I)* 78 J. PAT. & TRAD. OFF. SOC'Y 615, 630-31 (1996)
 22. Elvia Arcelia Quintana Adriano, *The Natural Person, Legal Entity or Juridical Person and Juridical Personality* 4 Penn St. J. L. & Int'l Aff. 363, 373 (2015)

23. F. Patrick Hubbard, "Do Androids Dream?": *Personhood and Intelligent Artifacts*, 83 Temp. L. Rev. 405 (2011)
24. F.D Prager, *Examination of Inventions from the Middle Ages to 1836*, 46 J. PAT. OFF. SOC'Y 268, 289-91 (1964)
25. Frederic William Maitland, *Moral Personality and Legal Personality* 6 J. Soc. COMP. LEGIS. 192 (1905)
26. G. Calabresi and A.D. Melamed, *Property Rules, Liability Rules and Inalienability: One View of the Cathedral* Harv. L. Rev. 85 (1972): 1089
27. G. Le Tallac, *The Protocol on Jurisdiction and the Recognition of Decisions in Respect of the Right to the Grant of a European Patent (Protocol and Recognition)* (1985) 16 IIC 318, 356.
28. G. Tullock, *Smith v. Pareto* Atlantic Econ. J. 27 (1999): 254-259
29. G.H. Nobles, *Breaking into the Back country* WM & Mary Quarterly 46 (1989) 655
30. Gregory R. Wheeler and Luis Moniz Pereira, *Epistemology and artificial intelligence* [2004] 2 J. Appl. Log, 469-493
31. H. Demsetz, *Towards a Theory of Property Rights* Am.Econ. Rev. 57 (1967) 347, 354-358
32. Ian J. Goodfellow et al., *Generative Adversarial Nets* 27 PROC. NEURAL INFO. PROCESSING SYSTEMS 2672, 2672 (2014).
33. Ida Blom, *Structures and Agency: a transnational comparison of the struggle for women's suffrage in the Nordic countries during the long 19th century* 37 SCAND. J. HIST. 600 (2012)
34. J Besnard et al, *Automated Design of Ligands to Polypharmacological Profiles* (2012) 492 Nature 215-220, at 219
35. J Patel, *Science of the Science, Drug Discovery and Artificial Neural Networks* (2013) 10 Current Drug Discovery Technologies 2-7, at 7
36. J. Stevens, *The Reasonableness of John Locke's Majority* Pol. Theory 24 (1996) 423, 439.
37. J.L. Schroeder, *Unnatural Rights: Hegel and Intellectual Property* 453 U. Miami L. Rev. 60 (2006)
38. James S. Albus, *Outline for a Theory of Intelligence*

- IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics,
Vol. 21, No. 3, May/June 1991
39. JC Ginsburg, *The Concept of Authorship in Comparative Copyright Law* (2003) 52 DePaul L. Rev. 1064, 1067.
 40. Jessica L. Gillotte, *Copyright Infringement in AI-Generated Artworks* 53 UC Davis L. REV. 2655, 2662 (2020).
 41. JL Schwab, *Audiovisual Works and the Work for Hire Doctrine in the Internet Age* (2011) 35 Colum. J.L. & Arts 141, 149.
 42. Julien Hoffman, *Introducing Copyright: A Plain Language Guide to Copyright in the 21st Century* (2009)
 43. K Williams et al, *Cheaper Faster Drug Development Validated by the Repositioning of Drugs Against Neglected Tropical Diseases* (2015) 12 Journal of the Royal Society Interface available at <http://rsif.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/12/104/20141289>
 44. Kalin Hristov, *Artificial Intelligence and the Copyright Dilemma* 57 IDEA 431, 442 (2017).
 45. Karen Yeung, *A Study of the Implications of Advanced Digital Technologies (Including AI Systems) for the Concept of Responsibility Within a Human Rights Framework* MSI-AUT (2018) 05
 46. Kay Firth-Butterfield, *Artificial Intelligence and the Law* 14 ABA SciTech Lawyer 28, 30 (2017)
 47. Kenneth E. Goodpaster & John B. Jr. Matthews, *Can a Corporation Have a Conscience?*, 60 Harv. Bus. Rev. 132 (1982)
 48. Kenneth E. Goodpaster, *The Concept of Corporate Responsibility* 2 J. Bus. ETHICS 1 (1983).
 49. Kenneth J. Burchfield, *Revisiting the "Original" Patent Clause: Pseudohistory in Constitutional Construction* 2 Harv. J.L. & Tech. 155 (1989)
 50. Kristofer Erickson, *Defining the public domain in economic terms-approaches and consequences for policy* 10 Nordic Journal of Applied Ethics 1 (2016)
 51. Lior Zemer, *On the value of copyright theory* (2006) Intellectual Property Quarterly 55
 52. Lorna McGregor et al., *International Human Rights Law as a Framework for Algorithmic Accountability* 68 Int'l & Compar. L.Q. 309, 330 (2019)

53. M. Korsgaard, *Kantian Ethics, Animals, and the Law* 33 Oxf. J. Leg. Stud. 629 (2013)
54. M.D. Adler, *Future Generations: A Prioritarian View* G.W. L. Rev. 77 (2008–09) 1478
55. M.J. Radin, *Lacking a Transformative Social Theory: A Response* Stan. L. Rev. 45 (1993) 409
56. MacLeod, *Accident or Design? George Ravenscroft's Patent and the Invention of Lead Crystal Glass* (1987) 28 Technology & Culture 776
57. Matthew L. Ginsberg, *Multivalued Logics: A Uniform Approach to Reasoning in Artificial Intelligence*, 4 Computational Intelligence 265 (1988).
58. McKenzie Raub, *Bots, Bias and Big Data: Artificial Intelligence, Algorithmic Bias and Disparate Impact Liability in Hiring Practices*, 71 ARK. L. REV. 529, 532 (2018)
59. Michael Callier and Harly Callier, *Blame it on the Machine: A Socio-Legal Analysis of Liability in an AI World* 14 Wash. J. L. Tech. & Arts 49, 53 (2018)
60. NB Schaumann, *Small Business and Copyright Ownership* (1996) 22 Wm. Mitchell L. Rev. 1469, 1482
61. Neil M. Richards & William D. Smart, *How Should the Law Think About Robots?* ROBOT LAW 3 (2016)
62. Neil Wilkof, *Theories of intellectual property: Is it worth the effort?* (2014) 9:4 J. Intell. Prop. L. & Pract. 257
63. Nirmalya Syam, *Mainstreaming Or Dilution? Intellectual Property And Development In Wipo, South Centre, Research Paper No. 95, July 2019,*
https://www.southcentre.int/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/RP95_Mainstreaming-or-Dilution-Intellectual-Property-and-Development-in-WIPO_EN.pdf
64. Norman Fost, *Organs from Anencephalic Infants: An Idea Whose Time Has Not Yet come* 18 HASTINGS CENT. REP. 5, 7 (1988).
65. Peter K. Yu, *Intellectual property and Information Wealth: Copyright and related Rights* (2007)
66. Pierre-Luc Racine, *Fostering Expressive Knowledge: The Copyrightability of Computer-Generated Works in Canada*, 60 IDEA 544, 552, 553 (2020).
67. Prager, *The Early Growth and Influence of Intellectual Property* (1952) 34 JPOS 106, 139
68. R.A. Posner, *Utilitarianism, Economics and Legal Theory* J. Legal Stud. 8 (1979) 103, 119

69. R.M. Smith, *One United People: Second-Class Female Citizenship and the American Quest for Community* 1 Yale J.L. & Human. 229 (1988)
70. R. Campbell, *Global Patent Law Harmonization: Benefits and Implementation* Ind. Int'l & Comp. L. Rev. Vol. 13:2, 605, 606
71. Randolph J. May & Seth L. Cooper, *Intellectual Property Rights Under the Constitution's Rule of Law*, 9 PERSP. FROM FSF SCHOLARS (2014), <https://papers.ssm.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstractid=2509516>
72. Rita C. Manning, *Corporate Responsibility and Corporate Personhood* 3 J. Bus. ETHICS 77 (1984)
73. Robert C. Denicola, *Ex Machina: Copyright Protection for Computer Generated Works* 69 Rutgers U.L. Rev. 251, 256 (2016).
74. Robert Perske, *The Dignity of Risk and the Mentally Retarded* 10 MENT. RETARD. 24 (1972).
75. Roberto Esposito, *The Dispositif of the Person* 8 L. Cult. & Human. 17 (2012)
76. Rochelle C. Dreyfuss, *The Federal Circuit: A Case Study in Specialized Courts* 64 N.Y.U. L. REV. 1 (1989)
77. Rochelle C. Dreyfuss, *Does IP Need IP? Accommodating Intellectual Production Outside the Intellectual Property Paradigm*, 31 CARDOZO L. REV. 1437 (2010).
78. Ronald Dworkin, *Euthanasia, Morality, and Law Transcript* 31 Loy. L.A. L. Rev. 1147, 1149 (1998).
79. Ronald Dworkin, *Politics, Death, and Nature* 6 Health Matrix 201, 206 (1996)
80. Russ Pearlman, *Recognizing Artificial Intelligence (AI) as Authors and Inventors under US Intellectual Property Law* (2018) 24 (2) Richmond Journal of Law & Technology 1.
81. Ryan Calo, *Artificial Intelligence Policy: A Primer and Roadmap*, 51 U.C.D. L. REV. 399, 404 (2017).
82. Sam Adelman, *The Double Life of International Law: Indigenous Peoples and Extractive Industries* 129 HARV. L. REV. 1755 (2016).
83. Sam Adelman, *Tropical Forests and Climate Change: A Critique of Green Governmentality* 11 INT. J. LAW CONTEXT 195 (2015).
84. Samuel Scholz, *A Siri-ous Societal Issue: Should Autonomous Artificial*

- Intelligence Receive Patent Or Copyright Protection?*,
11 Cybaris INTELL. PROP. L. REV. 81, 86-87 (2020).
85. SaritK. Mizrahi, *Jack of All Trades, Master of None: Is Copyright Protection Justified for Robotic Faux-Riginality?* WEROBOT 1, 2, 8 (Apr. 2019)
86. Shlomit Yanisky-Ravid, *Generating Rembrandt: Artificial Intelligence, Copyright and Accountability in the 3A Era - The Human-like Authors are Already Here – A New Model* (2017) Mich. St. Law Rev. 659, 673.
87. Stephen M. Feldman, *The Supreme Court in a Postmodern World: A Flying Elephant* 84 Minn. L. Rev. 673, 676-77 (2000).
88. Stuart J. Russell and Peter Norvig, *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach 2* (2009)
89. T.W. Merrill and HE Smith, *What happened to Property in Law and Economics* Yale LJ 111 (2001) 357, 360-383
90. Toni Selkala and Mikko Rajavuori, *Traditions, Myths, and Utopias of Personhood: An Introduction* 18 German L.J. 1017, 1029 (2017)
91. Victor M. Palace, *What If Artificial Intelligence Wrote This: Artificial Intelligence and Copyright Law* 71 Fla. L. Rev. 217, 222 (2019).
92. Wendy J Gordon, *A Property Right in Self-Expression: Equality and Individualism in the Natural Law of Intellectual Property* (1993) 102(7) The Yale Law Journal 1533, 1553.
93. Y Le Cun et al., *Deep Learning* (2015) 521 Nature 436-444, at 439.
94. Y S Kharitonova and V S Savina, *Artificial Intelligence Technology and Law: Challenges of Our Time* 49 Perm U Herald Jurid Sci 524, 540 (2020)

Miscellaneous

1. Alistair Maughan and Anna Yuan, *AI As A Patent Inventor – An Update From South Africa and Australia*,
<https://www.jdsupra.com/legalnews/ai-as-a-patent-inventor-an-update-from-2776042>
2. American University Intellectual Property Brief,
<http://www.ipbrief.net/2022/03/24/the-raghav-issue-should-ai-be-granted-authorship-rights>
3. Annsley Merelle Ward, *The role of big data, SEPs, PAEs and copyright in Digital Transformation: IP Tech Summit 2020 Report*,
<https://ipkitten.blogspot.com/2021/01/guest-post-role-of-big-data-seps-paes.html>

4. Arthur Miller, Can Machines Be More Creative than Humans?, The Guardian, Mar. 4, 2019, [theguardian.com/technology/2019/mar/04/can-machines-be-more-creative-than-humans](https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2019/mar/04/can-machines-be-more-creative-than-humans)
5. Blake Brittain, US Supreme Court rejects computer scientist's lawsuit over AI-generated inventions, www.reuters.com/legal/us-supreme-court-rejects-computer-scientists-lawsuit-over-ai-generated-inventions/
6. Brooks Kushman PC, U.S. Copyright Office Opens the Door for AI-Assisted Work to Gain Copyright Protection, www.lexology.com/library/detail.aspx?g=04d34f34-b97e-4e5d-837a-1782e498eb30
7. Chris Wilkinson, New Zealand: AIs are not people! NZ Patent Office decides AIs cannot be inventors (and neither can aliens), mondaq.com/newzealand/patent/1170006/ais-are-not-people-nz-patent-office-decides-ais-cannot-be-inventors-and-neither-can-aliens
8. Dennis M. Sullivan, *The Conception View of Personhood*, (2003) *Ethics and Medicine*, 19:1, 11-33
9. Devika Sharma, Artificial Intelligence Machine, can it be granted a patent for its own invention? Demystifying grant of patent to Artificial Intelligence Machine, sconline.com/blog/post/2021/09/09/ai-machines-cannot-be-inventors/
10. Divya Kala Bhavani, NaadSadhana app: from a simple tuner to a complex AI Indian Classical music creator, thehindu.com/entertainment/music/sandeep-ranade-apple-design-award-naadsadhana-ios-artificial-intelligence/article34796703.ece
11. Hulme, *The History of the Patent System under the Prerogative and at Common Law* (1896) 12 LQR 141
12. IPWatchdog, DABUS Gets Its First Patent in South Africa Under Formalities Examination, ipwatchdog.com/2021/07/29/dabus-gets-first-patent-south-africa-formalities-examination/
13. Jennifer O'Farrell and Doug Ealey, AI & IP Consultation - Focus on Patents, <https://www.dyoung.com/en/knowledgebank/articles/ai-ip-consultation-patents>
14. João Pedro Quintais, Daniel Gervais, Bernt Hugenholtz, Trends And Developments In Artificial Intelligence: Challenges To Patent Law, patentblog.kluweriplaw.com/2021/01/27/trends-and-developments-in-artificial-intelligence-challenges-to-patent-law/

- [patent-law/?print=print](#)
15. Kristél Kriel and Adam Lakusta, Can A Robot's Artwork Be Copyrighted?
[mondaq.com/canada/copyright/1219038/can-a-robot39s-artwork-be-copyrighted?login=true&del](#)
[domain=.mondaq.com;](#)
 16. Matt Hervey, EU report on AI-assisted creativity and invention, [lexology.com/library/detail.aspx?g=6904f6ac-8973-4afd-8494-01e148111329](#)
 17. MERRIAM-WEBSTER ONLINE DICTIONARY (2019),
[https://www.merriamwebster.com/dictionary/artificial%20intelligence](#)
 18. Mike Pierides, Patently Obvious? AI as an Inventor After DABUS,
[https://www.morganlewis.com/blogs/sourcingatmorganlewis/2020/09/patently-obvious-ai-s-an-dabus](#)
 19. Rahul Kapoor and James Mulligan, Artificial Intelligence and Intellectual Property: Transatlantic Approaches
[https://www.lexology.com/library/detail.aspx?g=da7ffc2d-e1b7-46ef-9eb5-5865cbd69e83&utm_source=Lexology+Daily+Newsfeed&utm_medium=HT%E2%80%A](#)
 20. See generally Rajeev Dhavan, Lindsay Harris and Gopal Jain, *Conquest by Patent: The Paris Convention Revisited*, Journal of the Indian Law Institute Vol. 32, No. 2 (April-June 1990), pp. 131-178
 21. Rebecca Tapscott, USPTO Releases Benchmark Study on the Artificial Intelligence Patent Landscape,
[ipwatchdog.com/2020/10/28/uspto-benchmark-study-artificial-intelligence-patent-landscap/id=1](#)
 22. Rich Stim, Employer Ownership of Patents and Trade Secrets, [http://www.intellectualpropertylawfirms.com/resources/intellectual-property/patents/er](#)
[policy.htm](#)
 23. Robert Dickens, Artificial Intelligence and Intellectual Property: what next for the UK?,
[allenovery.com/en-gb/global/blogs/digital-hub/artificial-intelligence-and-intellectual-propert](#)
[the-uk](#)
 24. Sameer Gokhale, AI as a Tool for the "PHOSITA",
[lexology.com/library/detail.aspx?g=fd5ef6f0-5982-4d24-b4d2-0838453e2560](#)

25. Seth M. Reiss, COMMENTARY ON THE PARIS CONVENTION FOR THE PROTECTION OF INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY,
<https://www.lex-ip.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Paris.pdf>
26. William Fisher, Theories Of Intellectual Property,
<https://cyber.harvard.edu/people/tfisher/iptheory.pdf>

Case List

Indian Cases

1. *Suryast* (Applicant - Ankit Sahni), ROC A-135120/2020, Diary No. 13646/2020-CO/A, Searchable at <https://copyright.gov.in/SearchRoc.aspx>
2. *Chemtura Corporation v. Union of India (UOI) and Ors.* 2009 (41) PTC 260 (Del)
3. *M/s. Bishwanath Prasad Radhey Shyam v. M/s. Hindustan Metal Industries*, MANU/SC/0255/1978
4. *Interdigital Technology Corporation and Ors. v. Xiaomi Corporation and Ors.* 2020 (84) PTC 225 (Del)
5. *MRF Limited v. Metro Tyres Limited* MANU/DE/2037/2019
6. *Music Broadcast Private Ltd. v. Indian Performing Right Society Ltd.* Suit 2401 of 2006
7. *Saregama Ltd. v. The New Digital Media and Ors.* (2018)1WBLR(Cal)329
8. *VB Mohamed Ibrahim v. Alfred Schafranek* AIR 1960 Mys 173
9. *Permutit Co. v. Borrowman* (1926) 43 R.P.C. 356
10. *Bajaj Auto Ltd. and Ors. v. TVS Motor Company Ltd* 2008 (36) PTC 417 (Mad)
11. *Novartis AG and Ors. v. Mehar Pharma and Ors.* 2005 (30) PTC 160 (Bom)
12. *Giridhari Balaram Radhakrishnani and Ors. v. Mahisa Electronics and Ors.* 1996 (1) Arb LR 342 (Kar)
13. *Merck Sharp and Dohme Corp. and Ors. v. Sanjeev Gupta and Ors.* 2020 (81) PTC 154 (Del)
14. *Novartis AG v. Cipla Ltd.* 2015 (61) PTC 363 (Del)
15. *Bayer Corporation and Ors. v. Union of India and Ors.* 2017 (70) PTC 7 (Del)
16. *K. Ramu v. Adyar Ananda Bhavan and Ors* 2007 (34) PTC 689 (Mad)
17. *Telemecanique & Controls (I) Limited v. Schneider Electric Industries SA* 2002(24) PTC 632

18. *Natural Remedies Private Limited and Ors. v. Indian Herbs Research and Supply Co. Ltd. and Ors* MANU/KA/2739/2011
19. *Eastern Book Company & Ors. v. D.B. Modak & Anr.* (2008) 1 SCC 1
20. *Pidilite Industries Limited v. Poma-Ex Products*,
Notice of Motion No. 2695 of 2016 in Suit No. 653 of 2014
21. *Burroughs Wellcome (India) Ltd. v. Uni-sole Pvt. Ltd. and Another*
1999 PTC Vol. 19 p 188
22. *R.G. Anand v. M/s. Deluxe Films and Ors* (1978) 4 SCC 118
23. *The Chancellor, Masters and Scholars of the University of Oxford and Ors. v. Rameshwari Photocopy Services and Ors.*, (CS(OS) 2439/2012,
I.A. Nos. 14632/2012, 430 and 3455/2013
24. *Sanjay Soya Private Limited v. Narayani Trading Company*,
Interim Application (L) No. 5011 of 2020 in Commercial IP Suit No. 2 of 2021
25. *M. Radhakrishnan v. Surabhi Publications and Ors.* (2016) 3 KLJ 321
26. *Associated Electronics & Electrical Industries (Bangalore) Pvt. Ltd. v. M/s. Sharp Tools, Kalapatti*, AIR 1991 Kar. 406
27. *Bayer Corporation v. Union of India*, AIR 2014 Bom 178
28. *FMC Corporation and Ors. v. Best Crop Science LLP and Ors* CS(COMM) 69/2021
29. *Garware Wall Ropes Ltd. v. A.I. Chopra*, 2008 (3) MhLJ 599
30. *Eli Lilly Canada Inc. v. Apotex Inc.*, 2008 FC 142, 63
31. *Communication Components Antenna Inc. v. Mobi Antenna Technologies (Shenzhen) Co. Ltd. and Ors.* 2021 SCC Online Del 3948
32. *Telefonaktiebolaget Lm Ericsson (Publ) v. Intex Technologies (India) Limited*,
2015 (62) PTC 9
33. *Raj Prakash v. Mangat Ram Choudhary and Ors.*, AIR 1978 Delhi 1
34. *Canadian General Electric Co. Ltd. v. Fada Radio Ltd.* AIR 1930 PC 1
35. *Lallubhai Chakubhai Jariwala v. Chimanlal Chunilal and Co.* AIR 1936 Bombay 99
36. *Farbwerke Hoechst Aktiengesellschaft Vormals Meister Lucius and Bruning a Corporation and Ors. vs. Unichem Laboratories and Ors.* AIR 1969 Bom 255
37. *Asian Electronics Ltd. v. Havells India Limited* 2010 (44) PTC 66 (Del)
38. *3M Innovative Properties Company v. Venus Safety & Health Pvt. Ltd.* 215 (2014) DLT 31
39. *Astrazeneca AB and Ors. v. P. Kumar and Ors.* 262 (2019) DLT 118

40. *Monsanto Company v. Coramandal Indag Products (P) Ltd.* AIR 1986 SC 712
41. *Astrazeneca AB and Ors. v. Torrent Pharmaceuticals Ltd. and Ors.* 275 (2020) DLT 361
42. *Mariappan and Ors. v. A.R. Safiullah and Ors.* 2008 (38) PTC 341 (Mad)
43. *M.C. Jayasingh v. Mishra Dhatu Nigam Limited* O.S.A. Nos. 393 TO 396 OF 2007
44. *Shining Industries and Ors. v. Shri Krishna Industries,* AIR 1975 All 231
45. *Bristol-Myers Squibb Holdings Ireland Unlimited Company and Ors. v. BDR Pharmaceuticals International Pvt. Ltd. and Ors.* MANU/DE/0299/2020
46. *Farbwrke Hoechst & B. Corporation v. Unichem Laboratories* AIR 1969 Bom 255

Foreign Cases

UK Cases:

1. *Yeda v. Rhone-Poulenc Rorer* [2007] UKHL 43
2. *Goddin v. Rennie's Application* [1996] RPC 141
3. *Kogan v. Martin and Ors* [2019]EWCA Civ 1645
4. *Cala Homes (South) Limited v. Alfred McAlpine Homes East Limited*
[1995] FSR 818
5. *British American Tobacco UK Ltd and Ors v. The Secretary of State for Health*
[2017] 2 All ER 691
6. *Wheat v. Alphabet Inc / Google LLC and Anr.* MANU/UKCH/0103/2018
7. *Luksan v. Van Der Let* [2013] ECDR 5
8. *Newspaper Licensing Agency Ltd and Ors.* [2013] UKSC 18
9. *University of Southampton's Applications,* [2005] RPC 220, 234
10. *Stephen L. Thaler v. Comptroller-General of Patents, Designs and Trademarks*
[2020] EWHC 2412 (Pat)
11. *In the matter of the PATENTS ACT 1977 and in the matter of patent applications*
GB 1816909.4 and GB 1818161.0 in the name of Dr Stephen Thaler,
[2021] EWCA Civ 1374
12. *Cinpres Gas Injection Limited v. Melea Limited,* [2008] EWCA Civ 9
13. *Harris' Patents,* [1985] RPC 19
14. *Staeng's Patent* [1996] RPC 183
15. *Patchett v. Sterling,* [1955] RPC 50
16. *British Syphon v. Homeword* [1956] RPC 330
17. *Norris' Patent* [1988] RPC 159

18. *Canning's US Application* [1992] RPC 459
19. *Kirin-Amgen/Erythropoietin*, T412/93 [1995] EPOR 629
20. *Fylde Microsystems Ltd. v. Key Radio Systems Ltd.* [1998] FSR 449 at 455
21. *Football Association Premier League and Others* [2011] ECR I-0000
22. *Martin and Ors. v. Kogan* [2021] EWHC 24 (Ch) (Para. 37)
23. *Telegraph Group Ltd v. The Right Honourable Paddy Ashdown, Mp Pc*
[2001]EWCA Civ 1142
24. *JHP Limited v. BBC Worldwide Limited and Trustees of the Estate of Terry Nation*
[2008] EWHC 757 (Ch)
25. *Marsden v The Saville Street Foundry and Engineering Co Ltd* (1878) 3 Ex D 203
26. *The Clothworkers of Ipswich Case* (1615) Godb 252
27. *R v. Wheeler*, (1819) 2 B & Ald. 345
28. *University of London Press Ltd. v. University Tutorial Press Ltd* (1916) 2 Ch 601
29. *Harwood v. Great Northern Ry. Co.*, 11 H.L.C. 654
30. *Margan & Coov. Win Dover & Co., the C. Spring case*, 7 R.P.C. 131
31. *Blackey v. Lathem* (1889) 6 RPC 184 (CA)
32. *Rickmann v. Thierry* (1896) 14 RPC 105 (HL)
33. *Longbottom v. Shaw* (1891), 8 R.P.C. 333
34. *Sabaf SpA v. MFI Furniture Centres* 2005 R.P.C. 10
35. *British Celanese Ltd v. Courtaulds Ltd.* 1935 (52) RPC 171
36. *Bristol-Myers Company (Johnson's) Application*, 1975 RPC 127
37. *Carpenter v. Smith*, (1842) 152 E R 127 (G)
38. *Patterson v. Gas Light & Coke Company*, (1877) 3 AC 239
39. *Re I.G. Farbenindustrie A.G.*, (1930) 47 RPC 289
40. *Pope Appliance Corporation v. Spanish River Pulp and Paper Mills Ltd.* AIR 1929 PC 38
41. *Monsanto v. Merck & Co. Inc.* 2000 RPC 709
42. *General Tire & Rubber Co. v. Firestone Tyre & Rubber Co.* 2000 RPC 709
43. *Windsurfing International Inc. v. Tabur Marine, Great Britain Limited*, 1985 RPC 59
44. *Hotchkiss v. Greenwood* (1851) 13 L Ed 683
45. *Nampak Cartons Ltd v. Rapid Action Packaging Ltd* [2010] EWHC 1458
46. *Technograph Printed Circuits Limited v. Mills & Rockley (Electronics) Ltd.*
[1972] R.P.C. 346

47. *American Cynamid Company v. Ethicon Ltd* [1975] 1 All ER 504
48. *Thaler v. Comptroller-General of Patents, Designs and Trade Marks* [2023] UKSC 49

EU Cases:

1. *Painer v. Standard Verlags GmbH* [2012] ECDR 6
2. *Funke Medien NRW GmbH v. Bundesrepublik Deutschland* Case C-479-17
3. *European Patent Application No. 18275163, Grounds for Decision*
4. *European Patent Application No. 18275174, Grounds for Decision*
5. *Brompton Bicycle Case*, C-833/18 (2020)

US Cases:

1. *Bostock v. Clayton Cnty.*, 140 S. Ct. 1731, 1749 (2020)
2. *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 15 (1966)
3. *Honeywell Int'l Inc. v. Mexichem Amanco Holding S.A. de C.V.*,
865 F.3d 1348, 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2017)
4. *Matal v. Tam*, 137 S. Ct. 1744, 1756 (2017)
5. *Mohamad v. Palestinian Auth.*, 566 U.S. 449, 453-54 (2012)
6. *Fisons PLC v. Ouigg*, 876 F.2d 99, 101 (Fed. Cir. 1989)
7. *Sandoz Inc. v. Amgen Inc.*, 137 S.Ct. 1664, 1678 (2017)
8. *Stephen Thaler v. Andrew Hirshfeld, Performing the Functions and Duties of the
Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United
States Patent and Trademark Office*, et al. 1:20-cv-903(LMB/TCB)
(E.D. Va. Sep. 2, 2021)
9. *Second Request for Reconsideration for Refusal to Register A Recent
Entrance to Paradise*, Correspondence ID 1-3ZPC6C3
SR # 1-7100387071, February 14, 2022
10. *Cancellation Decision re: Zarya of the Dawn*,
U.S. Copyright Office, Cancellation Decision re: Zarya of the Dawn
(VAu001480196) (Feb. 21, 2023)
11. *Peeler v. Miller*, 535 F.2d 647, 653–54, 190 U.S.P.Q. (BNA) 117, 122 (C.C.P.A. 1976).
12. *Adler and Apostolina v. Hair*, 188 U.S.P.Q. (BNA) 186, 188, 1975 WL 20838
(Pat. & Trademark Office Bd. App. 1975).
13. *Brokaw v. Vogel*, 57 C.C.P.A. 1296, 429 F.2d 476, 480, 166 U.S.P.Q. (BNA) 428, 431
(1970)

14. *Connin v. Andrews Et Al.*, 223 U.S.P.Q. (BNA) 243, 249, 1984 WL 63564 (Pat. & Trademark Office Bd. App. 1984).
15. *Kendall v. Searles*, 36 C.C.P.A. 1045, 173 F.2d 986, 992–93, 81 U.S.P.Q. (BNA) 363, 369 (1949)
16. *Hamlin and George v. Dunleavy*, 221 U.S.P.Q. (BNA) 1006, 1012, 1983 WL 51850 (Pat. & Trademark Office Bd. App. 1983)
17. *Kalnoki-Kis v. Land*, 214 U.S.P.Q. (BNA) 636, 1982 WL 50423 (Pat. & Trademark Office Bd. App. 1982)
18. *Rexroth v. Gunther*, 205 U.S.P.Q. (BNA) 666, 673, 1979 WL 24924 (Pat. & Trademark Office Bd. App. 1979)
19. *Justus v. Brackmann and Di Ianni*, 195 U.S.P.Q. (BNA) 327, 330, 1976 WL 20948 (Pat. & Trademark Office Bd. App. 1976).
20. *D'Silva v. Drabek*, 214 U.S.P.Q. (BNA) 556, 562, 1981 WL 46261 (Pat. & Trademark Office Bd. App. 1981)
21. *Sewall v. Walters*, 21 F.3d 411, 417, 30 U.S.P.Q.2d (BNA) 1356, 1360 (Fed. Cir. 1994)
22. *Sw. Airlines Co. v. Saxon*, 142 S. Ct. 1783, 1792-93 (2022).
23. *Univ. of Utah v. Max-Planck-Gesellschaft zur Forderung der Wissenschaften E.V.*, 734 F.3d 1315, 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2013)
24. *Beech Aircraft Corp. v. EDO Corp.*, 990 F.2d 1237, 1248, 26 U.S.P.Q.2d (BNA) 1572, 1582, 25 Fed. R. Serv. 3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
25. *Goldstein v. California*, 412 U.S. 546, 555-60, 561 (1973)
26. *National Car Rental v. Computer Associates* 991 F.2d 426 (1993)
27. *Buffalo Broadcasting v. Am. Soc. Of Composers* MANU/FESC/0048/1984
28. *Lulirama Ltd. v. Axxess Broadcast Services* 128 F.3d 872 (1997)
29. *Wartelle v. Women's & Children's Hospital* 704 So. 2d 778 (La. 1997).
30. *Burroughs Welcome Co. v. Barr Labs Inc.* 40 F. 3d 1223, 1227-1228 (Fed. Cir. 1994)
31. *Moore v. Regents of University of California* 499 US 936 (1991)
32. *Regents of University of California v. Symbiotics Corp.* 849 F. Supp. 740 (SDC 1994)
33. *Picture Patents, LLC v. Aeropostale, Inc.* 788 F. Supp. 2d 127 (S.D.N.Y. 2011)
34. *United States v. Dubilier Condenser Corp.* 289 U.S. 178, 187 (1933)
35. *Standard Parts Co. v. Peck* 264 U.S. 52, 59–60 (1924)
36. *Community for Creative Non-Violence v. Reid* 490 U.S. 730, 737 (1989)

37. *Aalmuhammed v. Lee* 202 F.3d 1227 (9th Cir. 2000)
38. *Stephen Thaler v. Katherine K. Vidal, Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, United States Patent and Trademark Office*, Case: 2021-2347 (Decided: August 5, 2022)
39. *Burrow-Giles Lithographic Co. v. Sarony* 111 U.S. 53, 56 (1884)
40. *Cindy Lee Garcia v. Google, Inc. and Ors.* MANU/FENT/2325/2014
41. *Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co* 499 U.S. 340, 361
42. *DOE 3 v. Arista Records LLC* 604 F.3d 110 (2010)
43. *Phoenix Entertainment Partners Llc Slep Tone Entertainment Corporation v. Dannette Rumsey Basket Case Pub Incorporated* MANU/FEVT/0347/2016
44. *Veterans4You LLC v. United States*, 985 F.3d 850, 860-61 (2021)
45. *Warger v. Shauers*, 574 U.S. 40, 50 (2014)
46. *Baker v. Selden* 101 U.S. 99, 101 (1879)
47. *Cain v. Universal Pictures Co.* 47 F. Supp. 1013 (S.D. Cal. 1942)
48. *Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Company, Inc.* 499 US 340 (1991)
49. *Anderson's Black Rock Inc. v. Pavement Co.* 369 US 57 (1969)
50. *Magowan v. New York Belting and Packing Co.* 141 US 332 (1891)
51. *McClain v. Ortmyer* 141 US 419 (1891)
52. *Trucker v. Spalding* 13 Wall. 453
53. *Diamond Rubber Co. v. Consolidated Tyre Co.* 220 US 428 (1911)
54. *Loom Co. v. Higgins* 105 U.S. 580, 591
55. *Concrete Appliances Co. v. Gomery* 269 US 177 (1925)
56. *Cuno Engineering Corp. v. Automatic Devices Corp.* 314 US 84 (1941)
57. *Atlantic Works v. Brady* 107 US 192
58. *A. & P. Tea Co. v. Supermarket Corp* 340 US 147
59. *Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Co. v. Super-market Equipment Corporation* (1950) 95 L Ed 162
60. *Pozzoli Spa v. Bdmo SA and Moulage Industriel De Perseigne SA* 2007 EWCA Civ. 558
61. *Alza Corporation v. Mylan Laboratories, Inc.* 464 F. 3d. 1286 (Fed. Circuit 2006)
62. *K.S.R. v. Teleflex* 550 US 398 (2007)
63. *Anderson v. Stability AI, et al.*, Case No. 3:23-cv-00201-WHO

64. *Range Rd. Music, Inc. v. E. Coast Foods, Inc.* 668 F.3d 1148 (9th Cir. 2012)
65. *Litchfield v. Spielberg*, 736 F.2d 1352, 1357 (9th Cir. 1984)
66. *Authors Guild v. Google, Inc.*, 804 F.3d 202, 225 (2d Cir. 2015)
67. *Getty Images (US), Inc. v. Stability AI, Inc.*, Case No. 1:99-mc-09999 (D. Del. Jan 01, 2023)
68. *Thaler v. Perlmutter*, Case 1:22-cv-01564-BAH (August 18, 2023)
69. *Thomson Reuters Enterprise Centre GMBH v. Ross Intelligence Inc.*, No. 1:20-cv-613-SB (September 25, 2023)

Miscellaneous:

1. *Thaler v. Commissioner of Patents* [2021] FCA 879
2. *JMVB Enterprises Pty Ltd v. Camoflag Pty Ltd*, [2006] FCAFC 141
3. *Stack v. Davies Shephard Pty Ltd* [2001] FCA 501
4. *Alcan (NT) Alumina Pty Ltd v. Commissioner of Territory Revenue (Northern Territory)*, [2009] HCA 41; 239 CLR 27
5. *Tate v. Haskins* [1935] HCA 40
6. *Dunlop v. Cooper*, [1908] HCA 67
7. *University of Western Australia v. Gray*, [2009] FCAFC 116
8. *CCH Canadian Ltd. v. Law Society of Upper Canada* (2004) 1 SCR 339
9. *Stephen L. Thaler, DABUS – The invention was autonomously generated by an artificial intelligence*, Application No. 2021/03242.22:13/05/2021.43:6/24/2021
10. *Stephen L. Thaler* [2022] NZIPOPAT 2 (January 31, 2022)
11. *Les Laboratories Servier, Adir, Oril Industries Servier Canada Inc., Servier Laboratories (Australia) Pty Ltd. and Servier Laboratories Limited v. Apotex Inc & Apotex Pharmachem Inc.* 2008 FC 825
12. *Canada-Patent Protection of Pharmaceutical Products*, WT/DS114/R, March 17, 2000
13. *US – Section 110(5) of the US Copyright Act* WT/DS160/1 (February 4, 1999)